arXiv:1409.4955v1 [math.PR] 17 Sep 2014

Probabilistic analysis of the $(1+1)$-evolutionary algorithm

Hsien-Kuei Hwang*
Institute of Statistical Science,
Institute of Information Science
Academia Sinica
Taipei 115
Taiwan

Alois Panholzer†
Institut für Diskrete Mathematik
und Geometrie
Technische Universität Wien
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/104
1040 Wien
Austria

Nicolas Rolin
LIPN, Institut Galilée
Université Paris 13
93430, Villetaneuse
France

Tsung-Hsi Tsai
Institute of Statistical Science
Academia Sinica
Taipei 115
Taiwan

Wei-Mei Chen‡
Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering
National Taiwan University of
Science and Technology
Taipei 106
Taiwan

September 18, 2014

Abstract

We give a detailed analysis of the cost used by the $(1+1)$-evolutionary algorithm. The problem has been approached in the evolutionary algorithm literature under various views, formulation and degree of rigor. Our asymptotic approximations for the mean and the variance represent the strongest of their kind. The approach we develop is also applicable to characterize the limit laws and is based on asymptotic resolution of the underlying recurrence. While most approximations have their simple formal nature, we elaborate on the delicate error analysis required for rigorous justifications.

*Partially supported by a grant from the Franco-Taiwan Orchid Program.
†Supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF under the Grant P25337-N23.
‡Partially supported by MOST under the Grant 103-2221-E-011-113.

1 Introduction

The last two decades or so have seen an explosion of application areas of evolutionary algorithms (EAs) in diverse scientific or engineering disciplines. An EA is a random search heuristic, using evolutionary mechanisms such as crossover and mutation, for finding a solution that often aims at maximizing an objective function. EAs are proved to be extremely useful for combinatorial optimization problems because they can solve complicated problems with reasonable efficiency using only basic mathematical modeling and simple operators; see [5, 7, 20] for more information. Although EAs have been widely applied in solving practical problems, the analysis of their performance and efficiency, which often provides better modeling prediction for practical uses, are much less addressed; only computer simulation results are available for most of the EAs in use. See for example [3, 13, 16, 17, 18]. We are concerned in this paper with a precise probabilistic analysis of a simple algorithm called $(1+1)$-EA (see below for more details).

A typical EA comprises several ingredients: the coding of solution, the population of individuals, the selection for reproduction, the operations for breeding new individuals, and the fitness function to evaluate the new individual. Thus mathematical analysis of the total complexity or the stochastic description of the algorithm dynamics is often challenging. It proves more insightful to look instead at simplified versions of the algorithm, seeking for a compromise between mathematical tractability and general predictability. Such a consideration was first attempted by Bäck [2] and Mühlenbein in [27] in the early 1990's for the $(1+1)$-EA, using only one individual with a single mutation operator at each stage. An outline of the procedure is as follows.

Algorithm $(1+1)$**-EA**

1. Choose an initial string $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ uniformly at random
2. Repeat until a terminating condition is reached
   - Create $\mathbf{y}$ by flipping each bit of x independently with probability p
   - Replace $\mathbf{x}$ by $\mathbf{y}$ iff $f(\mathbf{y}) \geqslant f(\mathbf{x})$

Step 1 is often realized by tossing a fair coin for each of the n bits, one independently of the others, and the terminating condition is usually either reaching an optimum state (if known) or by the number of iterations.

Mühlenbein [27] considered in detail the complexity of $(1+1)$-EA under the fitness function ONEMAX, which counts the number of ones, namely, $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} x_j$. The expected time needed to reach the optimum value, which is often referred to as the expected *optimization time*, for ONEMAX, denoted for convenience by $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$, was argued to be of order $n\log n$, indicating the efficiency of the $(1+1)$-EA. Bäck [2] derived expressions for the transition probabilities. Finer asymptotic approximation of the form

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = en\log n + c_1 n + o(n), \tag{1}$$

was derived by Garnier et al. in [16], where $c_1 \approx -1.9$ when the mutation rate $p = \frac{1}{n}$. They went further by characterizing the limiting distribution of $\frac{X_n - en\log n}{en}$ in terms of a log-exponential distribution (which is indeed a double exponential or a Gumbel distribution). However, some of their proofs, notably the error analysis, seem incomplete (as indicated in their paper). Thus a strong result such as (1) has remained obscure in the EA literature.

More recent attention has been paid to the analysis of the (1+1)-EA; see for example [1, 29]. We briefly mention some progresses. Neumann and Witt [28] proved that a simple Ant Colony Optimization algorithm behaves like the $(1+1)$-EA and all results for $(1+1)$-EA translate directly into those for the ACO algorithm. Sudholt and Witt [33] showed a similar translation into Particle Swarm Optimization algorithms. Moreover, variants such as $(\mu+1)$-EA in [34] and $(1+1)$-EA over a finite alphabet in [12] were investigated. The expected optimization time required by $(1+1)$-EA has undergone successive improvements, yet none of them reached the precision of Garnier et al.'s result (1); we summarize in the following table some recent findings.

ONEMAX function		Linear functionals	
Doerr et al. [8] (2010)	lower bound $(1-o(1))en\log(n)$	Jagerskupper [23] (2011)	upper bound $2.02en\log(n)$
Sudholt [32] 2010	lower bound $en\log(n)-2n\log\log(n)$	Doerr et al. [**?**] (2010)	upper bound $1.39en\log(n)$
Doerr et al. [9] (2011)	$en\log(n)-\Theta(n)$	Witt [35] (2013)	upper bound $en\log(n)+O(n)$

In this paper we focus on the mutation rate[1] $p=\frac{1}{n}$ and prove that the expected number of steps used by the $(1+1)$-EA to reach optimum for ONEMAX function satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n)=en\log n+c_1n+\tfrac{1}{2}e\log n+c_2+O\left(n^{-1}\log n\right), \tag{2}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are explicitly computable constants. More precisely,

$$c_1=-e\left(\log 2-\gamma-\phi_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\right)\approx 1.89254\,17883\,44686\,82302\,25714\ldots,$$

where γ is Euler's constant,

$$\phi_1(z):=\int_0^z\left(\frac{1}{S_1(t)}-\frac{1}{t}\right)\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3}$$

with $S_1(z)$ an entire function defined by

$$S_1(z):=\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{z^\ell}{\ell!}\sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell}(\ell-j)\frac{(1-z)^j}{j!}.$$

See (29) for an analytic expression and numerical value for c_2.

Note that these expressions, as well as the numerical value, are consistent with those given in [16]. Finer properties such as more precise expansions for $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$, the variance and limiting distribution will also be established. The extension to $p=\frac{c}{n}$ does not lead to additional new phenomena as already discussed in [16]; it is thus omitted in this paper.

Our approach relies essentially on the asymptotic resolution of the underlying recurrence relation for the optimization time and the method of proof is different from all previous approaches (including Markov chains, coupon collection, drift analysis, etc.). More precisely, we consider $f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}x_j$ and study the random variables $X_{n,m}$, which counts the number of steps used by $(1+1)$-EA before reaching the optimum state $f(\mathbf{x})=n$ when starting

[1] From an algorithmic point of view, a mutation rate of order $\gg\frac{1}{n}$ leads to a complexity higher than polynomial, and is thus less useful.

from $f(\mathbf{x}) = n - m$. We will derive very precise asymptotic approximations for each $X_{n,m}$, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. In particular, the distribution of $X_{n,m}$ is for large n well approximated by a sum of m exponential distributions, and this in turn implies a Gumbel limit law when $m \to \infty$; see Table 1 for a summary of our major results.

In addition to its own methodological merit of obtaining stronger asymptotic approximations and potential use in other problems in EA of similar nature, our approach, to the best of our knowledge, provides the first rigorous justification of Garnier et al.'s far-reaching results [16] fifteen years ago.

Although the results for linear functions strongly support the efficiency of $(1+1)$-EA, there exist several hard instances; for example, functions with $\Theta(n^n)$ expected time complexity for $(1+1)$-EA were constructed in Droste et al. [14], while a naive complete search requires only 2^n to find out the global optimum under an arbitrary function. Along another direction, long path problems were introduced by Horn et al. [21], and examined in detail in Rudolph [31]; in particular, he studied long k-paths problems (short-cuts all having distances at least k) and proved that the expected time to reach optimum is $O(k^{-1}n^{k+1})$. Droste et al. [14] then derived an exponential time bound when $k = \sqrt{n-1}$.

The $(1+1)$-EA is basically a randomized hill-climbing heuristic and cannot replace the crossover operator. Jansen and Wegener [25] showed a polynomial time for an EA using both mutation and crossover, while $(1+1)$-EA necessitates exponential running times. A more recent natural example [11] is the all-pairs shortest path problem for which an EA using crossover reaches an $O(n^3 \log n)$ expected time bound, while $(1+1)$-EA needs a higher cost $\Theta(n^4)$.

This paper is organized as follows. We begin with deriving the recurrence relation satisfied by the random variables $X_{n,m}$ (when the initial configuration is not random). From this recurrence, it is straightforward to characterize inductively the distribution of $X_{n,m}$ for small $1 \leqslant m = O(1)$. The hard case when $m \to \infty, m \leqslant n$ requires the development of more asymptotic tools, which we elaborate in Section 3. Asymptotics of the mean values of $X_{n,m}$ and X_n are presented in Section 4 with a complete error analysis and extension to a full asymptotic expansion. Section 5 then addresses the asymptotics of the variance. Limit laws are established in Section 6 by an inductive argument and fine error analysis. Finally, we consider in Section 7 the complexity of the $(1+1)$-EA using the number of leading ones as the fitness function. Denote the corresponding cost measure by Y_n and $Y_{n,m}$, respectively. We summarize our major results in the following table.

m	ONEMAX $m = O(1)$: Sum of Exp (Thm 1) $m \to \infty$: Gumbel (Thm 6)	LEADINGONES $m = O(1)$: Mixture of Gamma (Thm 8) $m \to \infty$: Normal (Thm 10)
$O(1)$	$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_{n,m}}{en} \leqslant x\right) \to (1-e^{-x})^m$	$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_{n,m}}{en} \leqslant x\right) \to \sum_{0 \leqslant j < m} \frac{\binom{m-1}{j}}{2^{m-1}} \int_0^x e^{-t} \frac{t^j}{j!}\, \mathrm{d}t$
$\to \infty$ $\leqslant n$	$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_{n,m}}{en} - \log m - \phi_1(\frac{m}{n}) \leqslant x\right)$ $\to e^{-e^{-x}}$	$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}}{\varsigma_{n,m}} \leqslant x\right) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\, \mathrm{d}t$

Table 1: *The limit laws for the number of stages used by Algorithm* $(1+1)$*-EA under* ONEMAX *(*$X_{n,m}$*) and* LEADINGONES *(*$Y_{n,m}$*) fitness function, respectively, when starting from the initial state with the evaluation* $n - m$*. The function* ϕ_1 *is defined in* (3)*, and the two quantities* $\nu_{n,m}$ *and* $\varsigma_{n,m}$ *are given in* (61) *and* (62)*, respectively.*

2 Recurrence and the limit laws when $m = O(1)$

Recall that we start from the initial state $f(\mathbf{x}) = n - m$ and that $X_{n,m}$ denotes the number of steps used by $(1 + 1)$-EA before reaching $f(\mathbf{x}) = n$. We derive first a recurrence relation satisfied by the probability generating function $P_{n,m}(t) := \mathbb{E}(t^{X_{n,m}})$ of $X_{n,m}$.

Lemma 1. *The probability generating function $P_{n,m}(t)$ satisfies the recurrence*

$$P_{n,m}(t) = \frac{t \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell} P_{n,m-\ell}(t)}{1 - \left(1 - \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}\right) t} \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n), \tag{4}$$

for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, with $P_{n,0}(t) = 1$, where

$$\lambda_{n,m,\ell} := \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n (n-1)^{-\ell} \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \min\{n-m, m-\ell\}} \binom{n-m}{j} \binom{m}{j+\ell} (n-1)^{-2j}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Start from the state $f(\mathbf{x}) = n - m$ and run the two steps inside the loop of Algorithm $(1+1)$-EA. The new state becomes $\mathbf{y}$ with $f(\mathbf{y}) = n-m+\ell$ if j bits in the group $\{x_i = 1\}$ and $j+\ell$ bits in the other group $\{x_i = 0\}$ toggled their values, where $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \max\{n-m, m-\ell\}$ and $\ell > 0$. Thus, the probability from state $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ is given by

$$\lambda_{n,m,\ell} = \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \min\{n-m, m-\ell\}} \binom{n-m}{j} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-m-j} \binom{m}{j+\ell} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{j+\ell} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m-j-\ell},$$

which is identical to (5). We then obtain

$$P_{n,m}(t) = t \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell} P_{n,m-\ell}(t) + \left(1 - \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}\right) t P_{n,m}(t),$$

and this proves the lemma. ∎

While this simple recurrence relation seems not new in the EA literature, tools have been lacking for a direct asymptotic resolution, which we will develop in detail in this paper.

For convenience, define

$$\Lambda_{n,m} := \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}.$$

In particular, when $m = 1$,

$$\Lambda_{n,1} = \lambda_{n,1,1} = \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1},$$

so that

$$P_{n,1}(t) = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} t}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1}\right) t}.$$

This is a standard geometric distribution $\mathrm{Geo}(\rho)$ with probability $\rho = \frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1}$ (assuming only positive integer values). Obviously, taking $t = e^{\frac{s}{en}}$, we obtain

$$P_{n,1}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right) = \frac{1}{1-s}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{n|1-s|}\right)\right),$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $|s| \leqslant 1-\varepsilon$, implying, by Curtiss's convergence theorem (see [19, §5.2.3]), the *convergence in distribution*

$$\frac{X_{n,1}}{en} \xrightarrow{(d)} \mathrm{Exp}(1),$$

where $\mathrm{Exp}(c)$ denotes an exponential distribution with parameter c. Equivalently, this can be rewritten as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_{n,1}}{en} \leqslant x\right) = 1-e^{-x},$$

for $x > 0$. Such a limit law indeed extends to the case when $m = O(1)$, which we formulate as follows.



Figure 1: *Histograms of $X_{n,2j}/en$ for $j = 1,\ldots,4$ (in left to right order) and $n = 5,\ldots,50$, and their corresponding limit laws.*

Let $H_m^{(i)} = \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} j^{-i}$ denote the i-th order harmonic numbers and $H_m = H_m^{(1)}$. For convenience, we define $H_0^{(i)} = 0$.

Theorem 1. *If $m = O(1)$, the time used by $(1+1)$-EA to reach the optimum state $f(\mathbf{x}) = n$, when starting from $f(\mathbf{x}) = n-m$, converges, when normalized by en, to a sum of m exponential random variables*

$$\frac{X_{n,m}}{en} \xrightarrow{(d)} \sum_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \mathrm{Exp}(r), \tag{6}$$

with mean asymptotic to $eH_m n$ and variance asymptotic to $e^2 H_m^{(2)} n^2$.

The convergence in distribution (6) can be expressed alternatively as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_{n,m}}{en} \leqslant x\right) = \left(1-e^{-x}\right)^m \qquad (x>0).$$

Proof. By the sum definition of $\lambda_{n,m,\ell}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{n,m,\ell} &= \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n (n-1)^{-\ell} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant \min\{n-m,m-\ell\}} \binom{m}{j+\ell}\binom{n-m}{j}(n-1)^{-2j}\\ &= \binom{m}{\ell} e^{-1} n^{-\ell}\left(1+O\left(\frac{(n-m)(m-\ell)}{n^2\ell}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the O-term holds uniformly for $1\leqslant m=o(n)$ and $1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m$. In particular, for each fixed $m=O(1)$, we then have

$$P_{n,m}(t) = \frac{\frac{m}{en}t}{1-\left(1-\frac{m}{en}\right)t} P_{n,m-1}(t)(1+o(1)),$$

so that

$$P_{n,m}(t) = \left(\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \frac{\frac{r}{en}t}{1-\left(1-\frac{r}{en}\right)t}\right)(1+o(1)),$$

where both $o(1)$-terms are uniform for $|s|\leqslant 1-\varepsilon$. Now take $t=e^{\frac{s}{en}}$. Then

$$P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right) = \left(\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\frac{1}{1-\frac{s}{r}}\right)(1+o(1)), \tag{8}$$

uniformly for $|s|\leqslant 1-\varepsilon$. This and Curtiss's convergence theorem (see [19, §5.2.3]) imply (6). The asymptotic mean and the asymptotic variance can be computed either by a similar inductive argument or by following ideas used in the Quasi-Power Framework (see [15] or [22]) that relies on the *uniformity* of the estimate (8)

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(X_{n,m})}{en} = [s]P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right) \sim [s]\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\frac{1}{1-\frac{s}{r}} = H_m,$$

where $[s^k]f(s)$ denotes the coefficient of s^k in the Taylor expansion of $f(s)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{V}(X_{n,m})}{(en)^2} &= 2[s^2]\log P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right)\\ &\sim 2[s^2]\sum_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\log\frac{1}{1-\frac{s}{r}}\\ &= H_m^{(2)}.\end{aligned}$$

We will derive more precise expansions below by a direct approach. ∎

The simple inductive argument fails when $m\to\infty$ and we need more uniform estimates for the error terms.

3 Asymptotics of sums of the form $\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} a_\ell\lambda_{n,m,\ell}$

Sums of the form

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} a_\ell\lambda_{n,m,\ell}$$

appear frequently in our analysis. We thus digress in this section to develop tools for deriving the asymptotic behaviors of such sums.

For technical simplicity, we define the sequence $e_n := \left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}$ and the normalized sum

$$\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} := \frac{\lambda_{n+1,m,\ell}}{e_n} = \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant \min\{n+1-m,m-\ell\}} \binom{n+1-m}{j}\binom{m}{j+\ell} n^{-\ell-2j}. \tag{9}$$

Let also

$$A^*_{n,m} := \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} a_\ell\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}.$$

Throughout this paper, we use the abbreviation

$$\alpha := \frac{m}{n}.$$

Asymptotics of $A^*_{n,m}$. Observe that most contribution to $A_{n,m}$ comes from small ℓ, say $\ell = o(m)$, provided that a_ℓ does not grow too fast; see (7). We formulate a more precise version as follows.

Lemma 2. *Assume that $\{a_\ell\}_{\ell\geqslant 1}$ is a given sequence such that $A(z) = \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1} a_\ell z^{\ell-1}$ has a nonzero radius of convergence in the z-plane. Then*

$$A^*_{n,m} = \tilde{A}_0(\alpha) + \frac{\tilde{A}_1(\alpha)}{n} + O\left(\alpha n^{-2}\right), \tag{10}$$

where $\tilde{A}_0(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{A}_1(\alpha)$ are entire functions of α defined by

$$\tilde{A}_0(\alpha) := \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!}\sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell} a_{\ell-j}\frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!}, \tag{11}$$

and ($a_0 := 0$)

$$\tilde{A}_1(\alpha) := -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!}\sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell}\frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!}\left((\ell-j)a_{\ell+1-j} - (\ell+2-j)a_{\ell-1-j} + a_{\ell-j}\right). \tag{12}$$

Proof. The first term on the right-hand side of (10) can be readily obtained as follows. If $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A^*_{n,m} &= \sum_{j\geqslant 0}\binom{n+1-m}{j}n^{-j}\sum_{j<\ell\leqslant m} a_{\ell-j}\binom{m}{\ell}n^{-\ell} \\ &\sim \sum_{j\geqslant 0}\frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!}\sum_{\ell>j} a_{\ell-j}\frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!} \\ &= \tilde{A}_0(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The more precise approximation in (10) can be obtained by refining all estimates, but the details are rather messy, notably the error analysis. We resort instead to an analytic approach. Observe that the sum on the left-hand side of (10) is itself a convolution. Our analytic proof then starts from the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} &= [z^{m-\ell}]\left(z+\frac{1}{n}\right)^m\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{n+1-m}\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c} z^{\ell-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{nz}\right)^m\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{n+1-m}\mathrm{d}z,\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where $c>0$. The relation (13) holds *a priori* for $1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m$, but the right-hand side becomes zero for $\ell>m$. It follows that

$$A^*_{n,m} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c} A(z)\left(1+\frac{1}{nz}\right)^m\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{n+1-m}\mathrm{d}z,$$

where $0<c<\varrho$, ϱ being the radius of convergence of A. By the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\frac{1}{nz}\right)^m\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{n+1-m}\\ &\quad= e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\left(1-\frac{1}{2n}\left((1-\alpha)z^2-2z+\frac{\alpha}{z^2}\right)+O\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)^2|z|^4+\alpha^2|z|^{-4}}{n^2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for z on the integration path, and the integral representations

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_0(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c} A(z)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z\\ \tilde{A}_1(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c} A(z)\left((1-\alpha)z^2-2z+\frac{\alpha}{z^2}\right)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z,\end{aligned}$$

we deduce (10). The expression (11) is then obtained by straightforward term-by-term integration. For (12), we apply the relation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\,e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z} = \left(-\frac{\alpha}{z^2}+1-\alpha\right)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z},$$

and integration by parts, and then obtain

$$\tilde{A}_1(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c}\left((1-z^2)A'(z)+(1-4z)A(z)\right)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z.\tag{14}$$

Substituting the series expansion $A(z)=\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}a_\ell z^{\ell-1}$ and integrating term by term, we get (12). ∎

When α tends to the two boundaries 0 and 1, we have

$$A^*_{n,m}\sim\tilde{A}_0(\alpha)\sim\begin{cases}\dfrac{a_k}{k!}\,\alpha^k, & \text{as } \alpha\to 0^+,\\[2ex] \displaystyle\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{a_\ell}{\ell!}, & \text{as } \alpha\to 1^-,\end{cases}$$

where k is the smallest integer such that $a_k\neq 0$.

Asymptotics of $\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^r\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}$ We now discuss special sums of the form

$$\Lambda^{(r)}_{n,m} := \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^r\lambda_{n,m,\ell},$$

which will be repeatedly encountered below. Define

$$\bar\Lambda^{(r)}_{n,m} := \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^r\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell},$$

so that $\Lambda^{(r)}_{n,m} = e_n\bar\Lambda^{(r)}_{n-1,m}$. For convenience, we also write

$$\bar\Lambda_{n,m} := \bar\Lambda^{(0)}_{n,m} = \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}. \tag{15}$$

Let I_k denote the modified Bessel functions

$$I_k(2z) := \sum_{j\geqslant 0}\frac{z^{2j+k}}{j!(j+k)!} \qquad (k\in\mathbb{Z}).$$

Corollary 1. *Uniformly for* $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$

$$\bar\Lambda^{(r)}_{n,m} = S_r(\alpha) + \frac{U_r(\alpha)}{n} + O\left(\alpha n^{-2}\right), \tag{16}$$

for $r=0,1,\dots$, *where both* S_r *and* U_r *are entire functions given by*

$$S_r(z) = \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{z^\ell}{\ell!}\sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell}(\ell-j)^r\frac{(1-z)^j}{j!},$$

and

$$U_r(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{S_0(\alpha)}{2} - \dfrac{3}{2}\sqrt{\dfrac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}\,I_1\left(2\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right), & \text{if } r=0\\ -\dfrac{1}{2}\left((2r-1)S_r(\alpha) + \displaystyle\sum_{0\leqslant j<r}\binom{r}{j}\frac{j-(-1)^{r-j}(2r+2-3j)}{r+1-j}S_j(\alpha)\right), & \text{if } r\geqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(\alpha) &= -S_0(\alpha) - \tfrac{1}{2}S_1(\alpha)\\ U_2(\alpha) &= \quad S_0(\alpha) - 2S_1(\alpha) - \tfrac{3}{2}S_2(\alpha)\\ U_3(\alpha) &= -S_0(\alpha) + 2S_1(\alpha) - 3S_2(\alpha) - \tfrac{5}{2}S_3(\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

These are sufficient for our uses.

Proof. We start with the integral representation (see (14))

$$U_r(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c}\left((1-z^2)E_r'(z) + (1-4z)E_r(z)\right)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

where $E_r(z) := \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1} \ell^r z^{\ell-1}$. When $r = 0$, we have $E_0(z) = (1-z)^{-1}$. Thus

$$U_0(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c}\left(-\frac{1}{1-z}+3\right)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Note that

$$S_r(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z|=c} E_r(z)e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\,\mathrm{d}z \qquad (r=0,1,\dots). \tag{19}$$

Thus

$$U_0(\alpha) = \frac{S_0(\alpha)}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{\alpha^\ell(1-\alpha)^{\ell-1}}{\ell!(\ell-1)!},$$

which proves (17) for $r = 0$. For $r \geqslant 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-z^2)E_r'(z) + (1-4z)E_r(z)\\
&\quad= (1-z^2)\sum_{\ell\geqslant 2}\ell^r(\ell-1)z^{\ell-2} + (1-4z)\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\ell^r z^{\ell-1}\\
&\quad= \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\ell(\ell+1)^r z^{\ell-1} - \sum_{\ell\geqslant 2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)^r z^{\ell-1} + E_r(z)\\
&\quad= \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant r}\binom{r}{j}E_{j+1}(z) - \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant r}\binom{r}{j}(-1)^{r-j}\left(E_{j+1}(z)+2E_j(z)\right) + E_r(z).
\end{aligned}$$

From this and the relation (19), we obtain (17). Note that the coefficient of E_{r+1} is zero. ∎

The Corollary implies specially that

$$\Lambda_{n,m}^{(r)} = e^{-1}S_r(\alpha)\left(1+O\left(n^{-1}\right)\right), \tag{20}$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $r \geqslant 0$. Since $S_r(z) = z + O(|z|^2)$ as $|z| \to 0$, we have the uniform bound

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}^{(r)} \asymp S_r(\alpha) \asymp \alpha \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n), \tag{21}$$

meaning that the ratio of $\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}^{(r)}/\alpha$ remains bounded away from zero and infinity for all m in the specified range.

We also have the limiting behaviors

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0}\frac{S_r(\alpha)}{\alpha} = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 1} S_r(\alpha) = \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{\ell^r}{\ell!} = \{e-1, e, 2e, 5e, 15e, \cdots\}.$$

Without the first term, the right-hand side is, up to e, the Bell numbers (all partitions of a set; Sequence A000110 in Sloane's Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences).

The following expansions for $S_r(z)$ and $U_r(z)$ as $z \to 0$ will be used later

$$\begin{aligned} S_r(z) &= z + \frac{2^r+1}{2} z^2 + O(z^3), \\ U_r(z) &= -\frac{2^r+1}{2} z + O(z^2), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for $r = 0, 1, \ldots$.

See also Appendix A for other properties of $S_r(\alpha)$.

4 The expected values and their asymptotics

Consider the mean $\mu_{n,m} := \mathbb{E}(X_{n,m}) = P'_{n,m}(1)$. It satisfies the recurrence

$$\mu_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{n,m}} \left(1 + \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}\, \mu_{n,m-\ell} \right),$$

for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ with $\mu_{n,0} = 0$.

From Theorem 1, we already have $\mu_{n,m} \sim enH_m$ when $m = O(1)$, while for $m \to \infty$ and $m \leqslant n$ we expect that (recalling $\alpha = \frac{m}{n}$)

$$\mu_{n,m} \sim en(H_m + \phi_1(\alpha));$$

see Section 4.1 for how such a form arises. We will indeed derive in this section a more precise expansion. The uniform appearance of the harmonic numbers H_m may be traced to the asymptotic estimate (7); see also Lemma 3.

Theorem 2. *The expected value of $X_{n,m}$ satisfies the asymptotic approximation*

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(X_{n,m})}{en} = H_m + \phi_1(\alpha) + \frac{H_m - \phi_1(\alpha) + 2\phi_2(\alpha) + 2\alpha\phi_1'(\alpha)}{2n} + O\left(n^{-2} H_m\right), \tag{23}$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, where ϕ_1 is defined in (3) and ϕ_2 is an analytic function defined by

$$\phi_2(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^\alpha \left(\frac{S_2(x) S_1'(x)}{2 S_1(x)^3} - \frac{S_0(x)}{S_1(x)^2} - \frac{1}{2S_1(x)} - \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{x} \right) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{24}$$

For simplicity, we consider

$$\mu^*_{n,m} := \frac{e_n}{n}\, \mu_{n+1,m},$$

where $e_n := \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}$, and we will prove that

$$\mu^*_{n,m} = H_m + \phi_1(\alpha) + \frac{H_m + \phi_2(\alpha)}{n} + O\left(n^{-2} H_m\right), \tag{25}$$

for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, which is identical to (23); see Figure 2 for a graphical rendering. More figures are collected in Appendix B.

Our analysis will be based on the recurrence

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell} \right) = \frac{1}{n}, \tag{26}$$



Figure 2: *The differences* $\mu^*_{n,m} - (H_m + \phi_1(\alpha) + \frac{H_m+\phi_2(\alpha)}{n})$ *for* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *(normalized to the unit interval) and* $n = 10, \ldots, 50$ *(left in top-down order), and the normalized differences* $(\mu^*_{n,m} - (H_m + \phi_1(\alpha) + \frac{H_m+\phi_2(\alpha)}{n}))n^2/H_m$ *for* $n = 10, \ldots, 50$ *(right).*

or alternatively

$$\mu^*_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\, \mu^*_{n,m-\ell} \right),$$

with $\mu^*_{n,0} = 0$, where $\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}$ are defined in (9) and (15), respectively. In particular, this gives $\mu^*_{n,1} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*_{n,2} &= \frac{3n^2 + n - 1}{2n^2 + 2n - 1}, \\ \mu^*_{n,3} &= \frac{22n^6 + 40n^5 - 19n^4 - 42n^3 + 14n^2 + 15n - 6)}{(2n^2 + 2n - 1)(6n^4 + 12n^3 - 7n^2 - 9n + 6)}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

In general, the $\mu^*_{n,m}$ are all rational functions of n but their expressions become long as m increases. In Section 4.1, we give asymptotic expansions for $\mu^*_{n,m}$ for small values of m as $n \to \infty$, which are also required as initial values for obtaining more refined asymptotic expansions for other ranges of m in Section 4.4.

Starting from the asymptotic estimate $\mu^*_{n,m} \sim H_m$ when $m = O(1)$, we first postulate an Ansatz approximation of the form

$$\mu^*_{n,m} \sim H_m + \phi(\alpha) \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n), \tag{28}$$

for some smooth function ϕ. Then we will justify such an expansion by an error analysis relying on Lemma 3 after a proper choice of ϕ. This same procedure can then be extended and yields a more precise expansion; see Section 4.4.

Instead of starting from a state with a fixed number of ones, the first step of the Algorithm (1+1)-EA described in Introduction corresponds to the situation when the initial state $f(\mathbf{x})$ (the number of 1s) is not fixed but random. Assume that this input follows a binomial distribution of parameter $1 - \rho \in (0, 1)$ (each bit being 1 with probability $1 - \rho$ and 0 with probability ρ). Denote by X_n the number of steps used by $(1 + 1)$-EA to reach the optimum state. Such a situation can also be dealt with by applying Theorem 6 and we obtain the same limit law. The following result describes precisely the asymptotic behavior of the expected optimization time.

Theorem 3. *The expected value of X_n satisfies*

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(X_n)}{en} = \log \rho n + \gamma + \phi_1(\rho) + \frac{\log \rho n + \gamma + 1 - \phi_1(\rho) + 2\rho\phi_1'(\rho) + \rho(1-\rho)\phi_1''(\rho) + 2\phi_2(\rho)}{2n} + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n^2}\right).$$

Note that $e(\log \rho + \gamma + \phi_1(\rho))$ is an increasing function of ρ, which is consistent with the intuition that it takes less steps to reach the final state if we start with more 1s (small ρ means $1-\rho$ closer to 1, or 1 occurring with higher probability). Also

$$1 + 2\rho\phi_1'(\rho) + \rho(1-\rho)\phi_1''(\rho) = -2 + \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{2\rho}{S_1(\rho)} - \rho(1-\rho)\frac{S_1'(\rho)}{S_1(\rho)^2}.$$

The constant c_2 in (2) can now be computed and has the value

$$c_2 = \frac{e}{2}\left(-\log 2 + \gamma - \phi_1(\tfrac{1}{2}) + 2\phi_2(\tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{S_1(\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{S_1'(\frac{1}{2})}{4S_1(\frac{1}{2})^2}\right) \approx 0.59789875\ldots. \tag{29}$$

Numerically, to compute the value of $\phi_1(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1]$, the most natural way consists in using the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{S_1(x)} - \frac{1}{x} = \sum_{j\geqslant 0} \sigma_j x^j,$$

and after a term-by-term integration

$$\phi_1(\alpha) = \sum_{j\geqslant 0} \frac{\sigma_j}{j+1}\,\alpha^{j+1}.$$



$S_1(x)$ has an infinity number of zeros on $\mathbb{R}^-$.

While $S_1(x)$ is an entire functions with rapidly decreasing coefficients, such an expansion converges slowly when $\alpha \sim 1$, the main reason being that the smallest $|x| > 0$ for which $S_1(x) = 0$ occurs when $x \approx -1.0288$, implying that the radius of convergence of this series is slightly larger than unity. Note that $S_1(0) = 0$ but the simple pole is removed by subtracting $\frac{1}{x}$. A better idea is then expanding $\frac{1}{S_1(x)} - \frac{1}{x}$ at $x = 1$ and integrating term-by-term

$$\phi_1(\alpha) = \sum_{j\geqslant 0} \frac{\sigma_j'}{j+1}\left(1 - (1-\alpha)^{j+1}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{S_1(1-x)} - \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{j\geqslant 0} \sigma_j' x^j.$$

This expansion is numerically more efficient and stable because of better convergence for $\alpha \in [0,1]$. The same technique also applies to the calculation of ϕ_2 and other functions in this paper.

4.1 Asymptotic expansions for small m

Our asymptotic approximation (25) to $\mu_{n,m}^*$ was largely motivated by intensive symbolic computations for small m. We briefly summarize them here, which will also be crucial in specifying the initial conditions for the differential equations satisfied by functions $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots)$ involved in the full asymptotic expansion of $\mu_{n,m}^*$; see (41).

Starting from the closed-form expressions (27), we readily obtain $\mu^*_{n,0} = 0$, $\mu^*_{n,1} = 1$, and

$$\mu^*_{n,2} = \tfrac{3}{2} - n^{-1} + \tfrac{5}{4}\,n^{-2} - \tfrac{7}{4}\,n^{-3} + \tfrac{19}{8}\,n^{-4} - \tfrac{13}{4}\,n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}),$$
$$\mu^*_{n,3} = \tfrac{11}{6} - \tfrac{13}{6}\,n^{-1} + \tfrac{155}{36}\,n^{-2} - \tfrac{323}{36}\,n^{-3} + \tfrac{4007}{216}\,n^{-4} - \tfrac{2783}{72}\,n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mu^*_{n,4} = \tfrac{25}{12} - \tfrac{41}{12}\,n^{-1} + \tfrac{329}{36}\,n^{-2} - \tfrac{917}{36}\,n^{-3} + \tfrac{61841}{864}\,n^{-4} - \tfrac{19501}{96}\,n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}),$$
$$\mu^*_{n,5} = \tfrac{137}{60} - \tfrac{283}{60}\,n^{-1} + \tfrac{2839}{180}\,n^{-2} - \tfrac{19859}{360}\,n^{-3} + \tfrac{848761}{4320}\,n^{-4} - \tfrac{5107063}{7200}\,n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}).$$

From these expansions, we first observe that the leading sequence is exactly H_m ($H_0 := 0$)

$$\{H_m\}_{m\geqslant 0} = \left\{0, 1, \tfrac{3}{2}, \tfrac{11}{6}, \tfrac{25}{12}, \tfrac{137}{60}, \tfrac{49}{20}, \cdots\right\}.$$

These also suggest the following Ansatz

$$\mu^*_{n,m} \approx \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{d_k(m)}{n^k},$$

for some functions $d_k(m)$ of m. Using this form and the above expansions to match the undetermined coefficients of the polynomials (in m), we obtain successively

$$d_0(m) = H_m \quad (m \geqslant 0),$$
$$d_1(m) = H_m + \tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{3}{2}\,m \quad (m \geqslant 1),$$
$$d_2(m) = \tfrac{2}{3}\,H_m + \tfrac{1}{12} - \tfrac{7}{4}\,m + \tfrac{11}{12}\,m^2 \quad (m \geqslant 2),$$
$$d_3(m) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,H_m + \tfrac{7}{24} - \tfrac{575}{432}\,m + \tfrac{23}{18}\,m^2 - \tfrac{283}{432}\,m^3, \quad (m \geqslant 2),$$
$$d_4(m) = \tfrac{5}{18}\,H_m - \tfrac{59}{720} - \tfrac{3439}{3456}\,m + \tfrac{15101}{11520}\,m^2 - \tfrac{19951}{17280}\,m^3 + \tfrac{5759}{11520}\,m^4, \quad (m \geqslant 4).$$

So we observe the general pattern

$$\mu^*_{n,m} \approx \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{1}{n^k} \left(b_k H_m + \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant k} \varpi_{k,j} m^j \right),$$

for some explicitly computable sequence b_k and coefficients $\varpi_{k,j}$. A crucial complication arises here: the general form for each $d_k(m)$ holds only for $m \geqslant 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, and correction terms are needed for smaller m. For example,

$$d_1(m) = H_m + \tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{3}{2}\,m - \tfrac{1}{2}\,[\![m = 0]\!], \quad (m \geqslant 0)$$
$$d_2(m) = \tfrac{2}{3}\,H_m + \tfrac{1}{12} - \tfrac{7}{4}\,m + \tfrac{11}{12}\,m^2 - \tfrac{1}{12}\,[\![m = 0]\!] + \tfrac{1}{12}\,[\![m = 1]\!], \quad (m \geqslant 0),$$

where we use the Iverson bracket notation $[\![A]\!] = 1$ if A holds, and 0, otherwise. It is such a complication that makes the determination of smaller-order terms more involved.

All the expansions here hold only for small m. When m grows, we see that

$$n^{-k} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant k} \varpi_{k,j} m^j = \varpi_{k,k}\alpha^k + \varpi_{k,k-1}\frac{\alpha^{k-1}}{n} + \text{smaller order terms},$$

and it is exactly this form that motivated naturally our choice of the Ansatz (25).

4.2 More asymptotic tools

We develop here some other asymptotic tools that will be used in proving Theorem 2.

The following lemma is very helpful in obtaining error estimates to be addressed below. It also sheds new light on the occurrence of the harmonic numbers H_m in (25).

Lemma 3. *Consider the recurrence*

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}(a_{n,m} - a_{n,m-\ell}) = b_{n,m} \qquad (m \geqslant 1),$$

where $b_{n,m}$ is defined for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Assume that $|a_{n,0}| \leqslant d$ for $n \geqslant 1$, where $d \geqslant 0$. If $|b_{n,m}| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}$ holds uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant 1$, where $c > 0$, then

$$|a_{n,m}| \leqslant cH_m + d \qquad (0 \leqslant m \leqslant n).$$

Proof. The result is true for $m = 0$. For $m \geqslant 1$, we start from the simple inequality

$$\bar\Lambda_{n,m} = \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \geqslant \frac{m}{n} \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n),$$

because all terms in the sum expression (9) are positive and taking only one term ($j = 0$ and $\ell = 1$) gives the lower bound. Then, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n,m}| &\leqslant \frac{|b_{n,m}|}{\bar\Lambda_{n,m}} + |a_{n,m-1}| \\ &\leqslant \frac{c}{n}\cdot\frac{n}{m} + cH_{m-1} + d \\ &= cH_m + d, \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma. ∎

Applying this lemma to the recurrence (26), we then get a simple upper bound to $\mu^*_{n,m}$.

Corollary 2. *For $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, the inequality*

$$\mu^*_{n,m} \leqslant H_m$$

holds.

Lemma 4. *If ϕ is a $C^2[0,1]$-function, then*

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right) = \frac{\phi'(\alpha)}{n}\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \ell\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + O\left(n^{-2}\right),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$.

Proof. A direct Taylor expansion with remainder gives

$$\phi(\alpha) - \phi\left(\alpha - \tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) = \phi'(\alpha)\tfrac{\ell}{n} + O\left(\ell^2 n^{-2}\right),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m$, since $\phi''(t) = O(1)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. The lemma follows from the estimates (16). ∎

The approximation can be easily extended and refined if more smoothness properties of ϕ are known, which is the case for all functions appearing in our analysis (they are all $C^\infty[0,1]$).

Another standard technique we need is Stirling's formula for the factorials

$$\log n! = \log\Gamma(n+1) = \left(n+\tfrac12\right)\log n - n + \tfrac12\log(2\pi) + \tfrac{1}{12}\,n^{-1} + O(n^{-3}), \qquad (30)$$

where Γ denotes Euler's Gamma function.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2

Formal calculus. Applying formally (28) and Lemma 4 using $H_m - H_{m-\ell} \sim \frac{\ell}{m}$ and $\phi(\frac{m}{n}) - \phi(\frac{m-\ell}{n}) \sim \phi'(\alpha)\frac{\ell}{n}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} = \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) \sim \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\frac{\ell}{m} + \phi'(\alpha)\frac{\ell}{n}\right)$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \phi'(\alpha)\right) S_1(\alpha),$$

by (16). Thus we see that ϕ satisfies

$$\phi'(z) = \frac{1}{S_1(z)} - \frac{1}{z}.$$

We now specify the initial condition $\phi(0)$. Since the postulated form (28) holds for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ (indeed also true for $m = 0$), we take $m = 1$ and see that $\phi(0) = 0$ because $\mu^*_{n,1} = 1$. This implies that $\phi = \phi_1$. The first few terms in the Taylor expansion of $\phi_1(\alpha)$ read as follows.

$$\phi_1(z) = -\tfrac{3}{2}z + \tfrac{11}{12}z^2 - \tfrac{283}{432}z^3 + \tfrac{5759}{11520}z^4 - \tfrac{57137}{144000}z^5 + \tfrac{2353751}{7257600}z^6 + \cdots, \tag{31}$$

which can then be checked with the explicit expressions of $\mu^*_{n,m}$ for small m (see Section 4.1).

Error analysis. To justify the form (28) (with $\phi = \phi_1$), we consider the difference

$$\Delta^*_{n,m} := \mu^*_{n,m} - H_m - \phi_1(\alpha),$$

which satisfies the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\Delta^*_{n,m} - \Delta^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) = E_1(n,m),$$

where

$$E_1(n,m) := \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(H_m - H_{m-\ell} + \phi_1(\alpha) - \phi_1\left(\alpha - \tfrac{\ell}{n}\right)\right).$$

By the asymptotic relation (16) with $r = 1$ and the definition of ϕ_1, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} = \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\tfrac{\ell}{m} + \phi_1'(\alpha)\tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) + O(n^{-2}),$$

and thus

$$E_1(n,m) = -\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(H_m - H_{m-\ell} - \tfrac{\ell}{m} + \phi_1(\alpha) - \phi_1\left(\alpha - \tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) - \phi_1'(\alpha)\tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) + O(n^{-2})$$

By Lemma 4, we see that

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\phi_1(\alpha) - \phi_1\left(\alpha - \tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) - \phi'(\alpha)\tfrac{\ell}{n}\right) = O(n^{-2}),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. On the other hand, we have the upper bounds

$$H_m - H_{m-\ell} - \tfrac{\ell}{m} = \begin{cases} O\left(\ell^2 m^{-2}\right), & \text{if } \ell = o(m), \\ O(H_m), & \text{for } 1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m. \end{cases}$$

Note that the first estimate is only uniform for $1 \leqslant \ell = o(m)$. When ℓ is close to m, say $m - \ell = O(m^{1-\varepsilon})$, the left-hand side blows up with m but the right-hand side $O\left(\ell^2 m^{-2}\right)$ remains bounded. Thus we split the sum at $\lceil \sqrt{m} \rceil$ and then obtain ($H_m - H_{m-\ell} - \frac{\ell}{m} = 0$ when $\ell = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(H_m - H_{m-\ell} - \tfrac{\ell}{m}\right) \\ &\qquad = O\left(m^{-2} \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant \lceil \sqrt{m} \rceil} \ell^2 \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + H_m \sum_{\sqrt{m}+1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Now, by (9),

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-2} \sum_{2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \ell^2 \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} &= O\left(m^{-2} \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!} \sum_{j+2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \frac{(j+\ell)^2 \alpha^\ell}{\ell!}\right) \\ &= O\left(m^{-2}\alpha^2\right) = O\left(n^{-2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_m \sum_{\sqrt{m}+1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} &= O\left(H_m \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!} \sum_{j+\sqrt{m}+1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!}\right) \\ &= O\left(H_m \sum_{\ell \geqslant \sqrt{m}+1} \frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!}\right) = O\left(\frac{H_m \alpha^{\sqrt{m}+1}}{\Gamma(\sqrt{m}+2)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By Stirling's formula (30), the last O-term is of order

$$\frac{m^{\frac{1}{4}} H_m}{n} e^{-\sqrt{m}(\log n - \frac{1}{2}\log m - 1)} = O(n^{-2}),$$

for $m \geqslant 1$. Combining these estimates, we then obtain

$$E_1(n,m) = O(n^{-2}),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. Thus $\Delta_{n,m} := n\,\Delta^*_{n,m}$ satisfies a recurrence of the form

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\Delta_{n,m} - \Delta_{n,m-\ell}\right) = O(n^{-1}) \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n),$$

with $\Delta_{n,0} = 0$. It follows, by applying Lemma 3, that $\Delta_{n,m} = O(H_m)$, and we conclude that, uniformly for $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$,

$$\mu^*_{n,m} = H_m + \phi_1(\alpha) + O\left(n^{-1} H_m\right).$$

This proves the first two terms of the asymptotic approximation to $\mu^*_{n,m}$ in (25). The more refined expansion is obtained by refining the same calculations and justification, which we carry out the main steps subsequently.

Refined computations. We consider now the difference

$$\Delta^*_{n,m} := \mu^*_{n,m} - (H_m + \phi_1(\alpha)) - \frac{1}{n}\left(b_1 H_m + \phi_2(\alpha)\right),$$

and will determine the constant b_1 and the function $\phi_2(z)$ such that

$$\Delta^*_{n,m} = O(n^{-2} H_m), \tag{35}$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, which then proves Theorem 2. By (26), $\Delta^*_{n,m}$ satisfies, for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\Delta^*_{n,m} - \Delta^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) = E_2(n,m), \tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(n,m) := \frac{1}{n} &- \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(H_m - H_{m-\ell}\right) - \sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\phi_1\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big) - \phi_1\Big(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\Big)\right) \\ &- \frac{b_1}{n}\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(H_m - H_{m-\ell}\right) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\phi_2\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big) - \phi_2\Big(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\Big)\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\Delta^*_{n,0} = -\frac{\phi_2(0)}{n}$.

The hard part here is to derive an asymptotic expansion for $E_2(n,m)$ that holds uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ as $n \to \infty$. To that purpose, we first extend Lemma 4 by using a Taylor expansion of third order for a $C^\infty[0,1]$-function $\phi(z)$, which then gives, uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\phi\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big) - \phi\Big(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\Big)\right) \\ &\quad = \frac{\phi'(\alpha)}{n}\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \ell \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} - \frac{\phi''(\alpha)}{2n^2}\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \ell^2 \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + O(n^{-3}) \\ &\quad = \frac{\phi'(\alpha)}{n} S_1(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2n^2}\left(2\phi'(\alpha)U_1(\alpha) - \phi''(\alpha)S_2(\alpha)\right) + O(n^{-3}), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where we used Corollary 1.

We now examine weighted sums involving the difference of the harmonic numbers. We start with the following identity whose proof is straightforward. For a given function $f(x)$, let ∇ denote the backward difference operator $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$. Then for $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m$

$$f(m) + f(m-1) + \cdots + f(m-\ell+1) = \sum_{1\leqslant k \leqslant m} \binom{\ell}{k} (-1)^{k-1} \nabla^{k-1} f(m).$$

Note that the sum vanishes for $k > \ell$. Take $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Then we obtain

$$H_m - H_{m-\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\ell(\ell-1)\cdots(\ell-k+1)}{km(m-1)\cdots(m-k+1)},$$

for $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m$. This relation implies that, for $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant \frac{m}{2}$ and $m \geqslant 1$,

$$H_m - H_{m-\ell} = \frac{\ell}{m} + \frac{[\![m \geqslant 2]\!]\,\ell(\ell-1)}{2m(m-1)} + O\Big(\frac{\ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{m^3}\Big).$$

By the same argument we used above for (32), we get the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\,(H_m - H_{m-\ell}) \\
&\quad= \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \left(\frac{\ell}{m} + \frac{[\![m \geqslant 2]\!]\,\ell(\ell-1)}{2m(m-1)} + O\Big(\frac{\ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{m^3}\Big)\right)\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{m}\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \ell\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + \frac{[\![m \geqslant 2]\!]}{2m(m-1)} \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \ell(\ell-1)\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\quad= \frac{S_1(\alpha)}{\alpha n} + \frac{1}{2n^2}\left(\frac{U_1(\alpha)}{\alpha} + \frac{S_2(\alpha)-S_1(\alpha)}{\alpha^2}\right) - \frac{[\![m=1]\!]}{2n^2} + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

which holds uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. Note that for $m = 1$ a correction term is needed; more correction terms have to be introduced in more refined expansions (see Section 4.4).

Combining the estimates (37) (with $\phi = \phi_1, \phi_2$) and (38), we see that

$$E_2(n,m) = \frac{J_1(\alpha)}{n} + \frac{J_2(\alpha)}{2n^2} + \frac{[\![m=1]\!]}{2n^2} + O(n^{-3}),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(z) &= 1 - \frac{S_1(z)}{z} - \phi_1'(z)S_1(z), \\
J_2(z) &= -\frac{S_2(z)-S_1(z)}{z^2} - \frac{2b_1}{z}\,S_1(z) - \left(\frac{2}{z} + 2\phi_1'(z)\right)U_1(z) \\
&\quad + \phi_1''(z)S_2(z) - 2\phi_2'(z)S_1(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $J_1(z) = 0$ because $\phi_1'(z) = \frac{1}{S_1(z)} - \frac{1}{z}$. To determine b_1 and ϕ_2, we observe that

$$\lim_{z\to 0} J_2(z) = \lim_{z\to 0}\left(-\frac{S_2(z)-S_1(z)}{z^2} - \frac{2b_1}{z}\,S_1(z) - \frac{2}{z}\,U_1(z)\right) = 2b_1 - 2,$$

where we used the relation $U_1(\alpha) = -S_0(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}S_1(\alpha)$ (see (18)). In order that $E_2 = o(n^{-2})$ uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, we need $2b_1 - 2 = 0$, so that $b_1 = 1$.

Now the equation $J_2(z) = 0$ also implies, by (18), that

$$\phi_2'(z) = -\frac{S_1'(z)S_2(z)}{2S_1^3(z)} + \frac{S_0(z)}{S_1(z)^2} + \frac{1}{2S_1(z)} + \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{z}. \tag{39}$$

With these choices of b_1 and $\phi_2(z)$, we have

$$E_2(n,m) = \frac{[\![m=1]\!]}{2n^2} + O(n^{-3}),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$.

The exact solution to the differential equation (39) requires the constant term $\phi_2(0)$, which we have not yet specified. To specify this value, we take $m = 1$ in (35) and then obtain, by the recurrence (36),

$$\Delta^*_{n,1} = \Delta^*_{n,0} + \frac{E_2(n,1)}{\bar{\Lambda}_{n,1}} = -\frac{\phi_2(0)}{n} + nE_2(n,1) = -\frac{\phi_2(0)}{n} + \frac{1}{2n} + O(n^{-2}).$$

This entails the choice $\phi_2(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ in order that $\Delta^*_{n,1} = O(n^{-2})$. Thus we obtain the integral solution (24) for $\phi_2(z)$. In particular, the first few terms of $\phi_2(z)$ in the Taylor expansion are given as follows.

$$\phi_2(z) = \tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{7}{4}z + \tfrac{23}{18}z^2 - \tfrac{19951}{17280}z^3 + \tfrac{64903}{57600}z^4 - \tfrac{13803863}{12096000}z^5 + \cdots.$$

As a function in the complex plane, the region where $\phi_2(z)$ is analytic is dictated by the first zeros of $S_1(z)$, which exceeds unity.

To complete the proof of (35), we require a variation of Lemma 3, since the assumption on $a_{n,0}$ given there is not satisfied here.

Lemma 5. *Consider the recurrence*

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\,(a_{n,m} - a_{n,m-\ell}) = b_{n,m} \qquad (m \geqslant 1),$$

where $b_{n,m}$ is defined for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Assume that $|a_{n,0}| \leqslant cn$ for $n \geqslant 1$, and $|a_{n,1}| \leqslant 2c$ for $n \geqslant 1$. If there exists a $c > 0$ such that $|b_{n,m}| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}$ holds uniformly for $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant 1$, then

$$|a_{n,m}| \leqslant 2cH_m \qquad (1 \leqslant m \leqslant n). \tag{40}$$

Proof. The inequality (40) holds when $m = 1$ by assumption. For $m \geqslant 2$, we write the recurrence as follows

$$a_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}} \sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\, a_{n,m-\ell} + \frac{\lambda^*_{n,m,m} a_{n,0}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}} + \frac{b_{n,m}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m}}.$$

By induction hypothesis and the two inequalities (see Lemma 3)

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{n,m} \geqslant \frac{m}{n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^*_{n,m,m} = n^{-m} \leqslant n^{-2},$$

we obtain

$$|a_{n,m}| \leqslant 2cH_{m-1} + \frac{n}{m}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\cdot cn + \frac{n}{m}\cdot\frac{c}{n} \leqslant 2cH_m,$$

and this proves the lemma. ∎

In view of the estimates $\Delta^*_{n,0} = O(n^{-1})$, $\Delta^*_{n,1} = O(n^{-2})$ and $E_2(n,m) = O(n^{-3})$, for $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the quantity $\Delta_{n,m} := n^2\Delta^*_{n,m}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5, which implies the bound $\Delta_{n,m} = O(H_m)$, or, equivalently $\Delta^*_{n,m} = O(n^{-2}H_m)$, uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ∎

4.4 An asymptotic expansion for the mean

The above procedure can be extended to get more smaller-order terms, but the expressions for the coefficients soon become very involved. However, it follows from the discussions in § 4.1 that we expect the asymptotic expansion

$$\mu^*_{n,m} \sim \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{b_k H_m + \phi_{k+1}(\alpha)}{n^k}, \tag{41}$$

in the sense that the truncated asymptotic expansion

$$\mu^*_{n,m} = \sum_{0\leqslant k\leqslant K} \frac{b_k H_m + \phi_{k+1}(\alpha)}{n^k} + O\left(n^{-K-1} H_m\right) \tag{42}$$

holds uniformly for $K \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and introduces an error of order $n^{-K-1}H_m$. This asymptotic approximation may not hold when $1 \leqslant m < K$ because additional correction terms are needed in that case. Technically, the correction terms stem from asymptotic expansions for sums of the form $\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m}(H_m - H_{m-\ell})$; see (38) and the comments given there.

We propose here an easily codable procedure for the coefficients in the expansion, whose justification follows the same error analysis as above. We start with the formal expansion (41) and expand in all terms for large $m = \alpha n$ in decreasing powers of n, match the coefficients of n^{-K-1} on both sides for each $K \geqslant 0$, and then adjust the initial condition $\phi_{K+1}(0)$ by taking into account the extremal case when $m = K$ (for $m < K$ the expansion up to that order may not hold). With this algorithmic approach it is possible to determine the coefficients b_K and the functions $\phi_{K+1}(z)$ successively one after another.

Observe first that

$$H_m - H_{m-\ell} = \sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell} \frac{1}{m-j} = \sum_{r\geqslant 1} m^{-r}\beta_r(\ell) = \sum_{r\geqslant 1} n^{-r}\alpha^{-r}\beta_r(\ell),$$

where ($0^0 = 1$)

$$\beta_r(\ell) := \sum_{0\leqslant j<\ell} j^{r-1} = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{0\leqslant j<r} \binom{r}{j} B_j \ell^{r-j},$$

the B_j representing the Bernoulli numbers. On the other hand,

$$\phi_{k+1}(\alpha) - \phi_{k+1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\ell}{n}\right) = -\sum_{r\geqslant 1} \frac{\phi^{(r)}_{k+1}(\alpha)}{r!}\left(-\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^r.$$

Thus

$$\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell} \sim \sum_{r\geqslant 1} n^{-r} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant r} \left(\frac{\beta_j(\ell) b_{r-j}}{\alpha^j (r-j)!} - \frac{(-\ell)^j}{j!}\phi^{(j)}_{r-j+1}(\alpha)\right).$$

Then, by (13),

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) \sim \sum_{r\geqslant 1} n^{-r}[t^{-1}]\left(1+\frac{1}{nt}\right)^m \left(1+\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n+1-m} f_r(t),$$

where

$$f_r(t) := \sum_{\ell\geqslant 1} t^{\ell-1} \sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant r} \left(\frac{\beta_j(\ell) b_{r-j}}{\alpha^j (r-j)!} - \frac{(-\ell)^j}{j!}\, \phi^{(j)}_{r-j+1}(\alpha) \right).$$

Now

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{nt}\right)^m \left(1+\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n+1-m} = \exp\left(\sum_{j\geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \left(\frac{\alpha t^{-j} + (1-\alpha) t^j}{n^{j-1}} + \frac{t^j}{n^j} \right) \right).$$

A direct expansion using Bell polynomials $B^*_k(t_1,\dots,t_k)$ (see [6]) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1+\frac{1}{nt}\right)^m \left(1+\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n+1-m} &= e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{B^*_k(t_1,\dots,t_k)}{k!}\, n^{-k} \\ &= e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{\tilde{B}_k(\mathbf{t})}{k! t^{2k}}\, n^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{B}_0 = 1$,

$$t_j := \frac{(-1)^j j!}{j+1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{t^{j+1}} + (1-\alpha) t^{j+1} \right) + (-1)^{j-1} (j-1)! t^j \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and $\tilde{B}_k(\mathbf{t})$ is a polynomial of degree $4k$.

Collecting these expansions, we get

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell} \right) \sim \sum_{K\geqslant 0} n^{-(K+1)} \sum_{0\leqslant r\leqslant K} [t^{-1}] e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} \frac{\tilde{B}_r(\mathbf{t})}{r!}\, f_{K+1-r}(t).$$

All terms now have the form

$$\begin{aligned} [t^{2r-1}] e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} F(t) &= \sum_{\ell\geqslant 0} \frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!} [t^{\ell+2r-1}] e^{(1-\alpha)t} F(t) \\ &= \sum_{\ell\geqslant 0} \frac{\alpha^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{0\leqslant j<2r+\ell} \frac{(1-\alpha)^j}{j!} \cdot \frac{F^{(\ell+2r-1-j)}(0)}{(\ell+2r-1-j)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are solving the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell} \right) = \frac{1}{n},$$

we have the relations

$$\begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{b_0}{\alpha} + \phi'_1(\alpha) \right) [t^{-1}] \dfrac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t}}{(1-t)^2} = 1, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{0\leqslant r\leqslant K} [t^{2r-1}] e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} \frac{\tilde{B}_r(\mathbf{t})}{r!}\, f_{K+1-r}(t) = 0, \quad (K \geqslant 1). \end{cases}$$

By induction, each ϕ_{K+1} satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$\left(\frac{b_K}{\alpha} + \phi'_{K+1}(\alpha)\right) S_K(\alpha) = \Psi_{K+1}[\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_K](\alpha),$$

for some functional Ψ_{K+1}. Since $S_1(\alpha) \sim \alpha$ as $\alpha \to 0$, we also have the relation

$$b_K = \Psi_{K+1}[\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_K](0).$$

Once the value of b_K is determined, we can then write

$$\phi_{K+1}(\alpha) = \phi_{K+1}(0) + \int_0^\alpha \left(\frac{\Psi_{K+1}[\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_K](x)}{S_1(x)} - \frac{b_K}{x}\right) \mathrm{d}x,$$

and it remains to determine the initial value $\phi_{K+1}(0)$, which is far from being obvious. The crucial property we need is that the truncated expansion (42) holds when $K \leqslant m \leqslant n$, and particularly when $m = K$. So we compute (42) with $m = K$ and drop all terms of order smaller than or equal to n^{-K-1}. Then we match the coefficient of n^{-K} with that in the expansion of $\mu^*_{n,K}$ obtained by a direct calculation from the recurrence (26).

We illustrate this procedure by computing the first two terms in (41). First, we have

$$\left(\frac{b_0}{\alpha} + \phi'_1(\alpha)\right) S_1(\alpha) = 1,$$

which implies $b_0 = 1$ and $\phi'_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{S_1(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Moreover, substituting the initial value $m = K = 0$, we get

$$0 = \mu^*_{n,0} = H_0 + \phi_1(0) + O(n^{-1}) = \phi_1(0) + O(n^{-1}),$$

entailing $\phi_1(0) = 0$, which is consistent with what we obtained above.

The next-order term when $K = 1$ is (after substituting the relations $b_0 = 1$, $\phi'_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{S_1(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\phi''_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{S'_1(\alpha)}{S_1(\alpha)^2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{b_1}{\alpha} + \phi'_2(\alpha)\right) [t^{-1}] \frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t}}{(1-t)^2} \\
&\quad = [t^{-1}] e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}+(1-\alpha)t} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha^2(1-t)^2} - \frac{(1+t)S'_1(\alpha)}{2(1-t)^3 S_1(\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha - 2t^3 + (1-\alpha)t^4}{2t^2(1-t)^2 S_1(\alpha)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\left(\frac{b_1}{\alpha} + \phi'_2(\alpha)\right) S_1(\alpha) = -\frac{S'_1(\alpha)S_2(\alpha)}{2S_1(\alpha)^2} + \frac{S_0(\alpha)}{S_1(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{S_1(\alpha)}{2\alpha^2}. \tag{43}$$

As $\alpha \to 0$, the right-hand side of (43) has the local expansion $1 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha + \cdots$, forcing $b_1 = 1$, and, accordingly, we obtain the same differential equation (39). Substituting the value $m = K = 1$ in (42) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = \mu^*_{n,1} &= H_1 + \phi_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(H_1 + \phi_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) + O(n^{-2}) \\
&= 1 + \frac{\phi'_1(0)}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\phi_2(0)}{n} + O(n^{-2}),
\end{aligned}$$

implying, by using (31), $\phi_2(0) = -\phi'_1(0) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}$, which is consistent with Theorem 2.

Although the expressions become rather involved for higher-order terms, all calculations (symbolic or numerical) are easily coded. For example, we have

$$\phi_3(z) = \tfrac{1}{12} - \tfrac{575}{432} z + \tfrac{15101}{11520} z^2 - \tfrac{8827}{5400} z^3 + \tfrac{2229089}{1036800} z^4 - \tfrac{361022171}{127008000} z^5 + \cdots.$$

4.5 Proof of Theorem 3

We now give an outline of the proof of Theorem 3 concerning the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$. The method of proof relies on standard normal approximation to the binomial distribution.

We begin with

$$\mathbb{E}\left(t^{X_n}\right) = \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n} \pi_{n,m}\, P_{n,m}(t),$$

where $\pi_{n,m} := \binom{n}{m}\bar{\rho}^{n-m}\rho^m$ ($\bar{\rho} := 1-\rho$). From this expression, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n} \pi_{n,m}\,\mu_{n,m} = \frac{n-1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n} \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n} \pi_{n,m}\mu^*_{n-1,m}.$$

Write $m = \rho n + x\sqrt{\rho\bar{\rho}n}$. By Stirling's formula (30), we have

$$\pi_{n,m} = \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho\bar{\rho}n}}\left(1 + \frac{p_1(x)}{\sqrt{\rho\bar{\rho}n}} + \frac{p_2(x)}{\rho\bar{\rho}n} + \frac{p_3(x)}{(\rho\bar{\rho}n)^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{1+x^{12}}{n^2}\right)\right),$$

uniformly for $x = o(n^{\frac{1}{6}})$, where, here and throughout the proof, the p_j are polynomials of x containing only powers of the same parity as j. On the other hand, by Theorem 2, we have in the same range of m

$$\mu^*_{n-1,m} = \log\rho n + \gamma + \phi_1(\rho) + \frac{p_5(x)}{\sqrt{\rho\bar{\rho}n}} + \frac{2\rho\bar{\rho}(\log\rho n+\gamma)+p_4(x)}{2\rho\bar{\rho}n} + \frac{p_7(x)}{(\rho\bar{\rho}n)^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{\log n + x^4}{n^2}\right).$$

With these expansions, the asymptotic evaluation of $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$ is reduced to sums of the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho\bar{\rho}n}} \sum_{x=\frac{m-\rho n}{\sqrt{\rho\bar{\rho}n}}=o(n^{\frac{1}{6}})} x^r\, e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}x + O(n^{-L}),$$

for any $L > 1$ by an application of the Euler-Maclaurin formula. Thus polynomials of odd indices (containing only odd powers of x) will lead to asymptotically negligible terms after integration. Outside the range where $x = o(n^{\frac{1}{6}})$, the binomial distribution is smaller than any negative power of n, so the contribution from this range is also asymptotically negligible. Except for this part, all other steps are easily coded. ∎

5 Asymptotics of the variance

We prove in this section that the variance $\sigma^2_{n,m} := \mathbb{V}(X_{n,m}) = \mathbb{E}(X^2_{n,m}) - (\mathbb{E}(X_{n,m}))^2$ of $X_{n,m}$ is asymptotically quadratic.

Theorem 4. *For $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, the variance of $X_{n,m}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}(X_{n,m})}{en} = eH^{(2)}_m n - (2e+1)H_m + eH^{(2)}_m + e\psi_1(\alpha) - \phi_1(\alpha) - \frac{11e+1}{2n}H_m + \frac{5eH^{(2)}_m + 2e\psi_2(\alpha) - 2\phi_2(\alpha) + 2e\alpha\psi_1'(\alpha) - 2\alpha\phi_1'(\alpha) + \phi_1(\alpha)}{2n} + O\left(n^{-2}H_m\right),$$

where

$$\psi_1(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha \left(\frac{S_2(x)}{S_1(x)^3} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x} \right) \mathrm{d}x, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\psi_2(\alpha) = \frac{7}{12} - \int_0^\alpha \left(\frac{5S_1'(x)S_2(x)^2}{2S_1(x)^5} - \frac{2S_1'(x)S_3(x) + S_2(x)S_2'(x) + 6S_0(x)S_2(x)}{2S_1(x)^4} - \frac{S_0(x)}{S_1(x)^3} + \frac{2}{S_1(x)^2} - \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{3}{x^2} - \frac{11}{2x} \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Similar to the mean, we work on the sequence $V^*_{n,m} := e_n^2(\sigma^2_{n+1,m} + \mu_{n+1,m})/n^2$ and prove that (see Figure 3 and Appendix D)

$$V^*_{n,m} = H_m^{(2)} + \frac{-2H_m + \psi_1(\alpha) + 2H_m^{(2)}}{n} + \frac{-\frac{11}{2}H_m + \psi_2(\alpha) + \frac{7}{3}H_m^{(2)}}{n^2} + O(n^{-3}H_m) \qquad (2 \leqslant m \leqslant n). \tag{45}$$



Figure 3: *The absolute differences* $|V^*_{n,m} -$ *RHS of* (45)$|$ *for* $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *(normalized to the unit interval) and* $n = 10, \ldots, 50$ *(left in top-down order), and the absolute normalized differences* $n^3 H_m^{-1} |V^*_{n,m} -$ *RHS of* (45)$|$ *for* $n = 10, \ldots, 50$ *(right).*

The variance of X_n is computed by the relation

$$\mathbb{V}(X_n) = \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant n} \pi_{n,m} \left(\sigma^2_{n,m} + \mu^2_{n,m} \right) - \left(\sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant n} \pi_{n,m} \mu_{n,m} \right)^2,$$

where $\pi_{n,m} = \binom{n}{m} \rho^m (1-\rho)^{n-m}$, $\mu_{n,m} := \mathbb{E}(X_{n,m})$ and $\sigma^2_{n,m} := \mathbb{V}(X_{n,m})$.

Theorem 5. *The variance of* X_n *satisfies asymptotically*

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}(X_n)}{en} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} en - (2e+1)(\log \rho n + \gamma) + v_1 - \frac{(11e+1)(\log \rho n + \gamma) - v_2}{2n} + O\left(n^{-2} \log n\right),$$

where ($\bar\rho := 1-\rho$)

$$v_1 := e\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}-1\right)+e\psi_1(\rho)-\phi_1(\rho)+2e\bar\rho\phi_1'(\rho)+e\bar\rho\rho\phi_1'(\rho)^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}v_2 = {}& e\bar\rho^2\rho^2\phi_1''(\rho)^2+2e\bar\rho^2\rho(1+\rho\phi_1'(\rho))\phi_1'''(\rho)+2e\rho\bar\rho(1+\phi_1'(\rho))\phi_1''(\rho)\\ &+4e\bar\rho(1+\rho\phi_2'(\rho))\phi_1'(\rho)+2e\bar\rho\rho\phi_1'(\rho)^2+4e\bar\rho\phi_2'(\rho)+e\bar\rho\rho\psi_1''(\rho)-\bar\rho\rho\phi_1''(\rho)\\ &+2e\psi_2(\rho)-2\phi_2(\rho)+2e\rho\psi_1'(\rho)-2\rho\phi_1'(\rho)+\phi_1(\rho)+\tfrac{5}{6}\,e\pi^2-3e-1.\end{aligned}$$

Recurrences for the centered moment generating function. To compute the variance, one may start with the second moment and then consider the difference with the square of the mean; however, it is computationally more advantageous to study directly the recurrence satisfied by the variances themselves.

From (4), we have, by substituting $t=e^y$,

$$\left(1-(1-\Lambda_{n,m})\,e^y\right)P_{n,m}(e^y)=e^y\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}P_{n,m-\ell}(e^y),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(e^{-y}-1-\Lambda_{n,m}\right)P_{n,m}(e^y)=\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}P_{n,m-\ell}(e^y),$$

or

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\big(P_{n,m}(e^y)-P_{n,m-\ell}(e^y)\big)=(1-e^{-y})P_{n,m}(e^y).$$

This is a simpler recurrence to start as fewer terms are involved for the moments.

We now consider the moment generating function for the centered random variables $X_{n,m}-\mu_{n,m}$

$$R_{n,m}(y):=P_n(e^y)e^{-\mu_{n,m}y},$$

which then satisfies the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\big(R_{n,m}(y)-R_{n,m-\ell}(y)e^{-(\mu_{n,m}-\mu_{n,m-\ell})y}\big)=(1-e^{-y})R_{n,m}(y),$$

for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$ with $R_{n,0}(y)=1$.

Variance. Let $\sigma_{n,m}^2=\mathbb{V}(X_{n,m})=R_{n,m}''(0)$ be the variance of $X_{n,m}$. Then $\sigma_{n,m}^2$ satisfies the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\left(\sigma_{n,m}^2-\sigma_{n,m-\ell}^2\right)=-1+\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\left(\mu_{n,m}-\mu_{n,m-\ell}\right)^2.$$

In terms of $V_{n,m}^*:=e_n^2(\sigma_{n+1,m}^2+\mu_{n+1,m})/n^2$, we have $V_{n,0}^*=0$, and for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$

$$\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}^*\left(V_{n,m}^*-V_{n,m-\ell}^*\right)=T_{n,m}^*, \tag{46}$$

where

$$T^*_{n,m} := \sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell}\right)^2. \tag{47}$$

In particular, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} V^*_{n,1} &= 1,\\ V^*_{n,2} &= \frac{5n^4+8n^3-n^2-4n+1}{(2n^2+2n-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The expressions become very lengthy as m increases. In Appendix C we give asymptotic expansions for $V^*_{n,m}$ for a few small m as $n\to\infty$. Based on these expansions, a suitable Ansatz for the asymptotic behavior of $V^*_{n,m}$ can be deduced (assisted again by computer algebra system), which then can be proven analogous to the method of proof presented in Section 4.

Proof of asymptotics with error analysis. By the same procedure used for $\mu^*_{n,m}$, we start from computing the asymptotic expansions for $V^*_{n,m}$ for small m. These expansions suggest the more uniform (for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$) asymptotic expansion

$$V^*_{n,m} \sim c_0 H^{(2)}_m + \frac{a_1 H_m + \psi_1(\alpha) + c_1 H^{(2)}_m}{n},$$

for some constants c_0, c_1 and a_1, and some function $\psi_1(z)$. Such an asymptotic form can be justified by the same approach we used above for $\mu^*_{n,m}$. More precisely, we now prove that

$$V^*_{n,m} = H^{(2)}_m + \frac{-2H_m + \psi_1(\alpha) + 2H^{(2)}_m}{n} + O(n^{-2}H_m), \tag{48}$$

uniformly for $0\leqslant m\leqslant n$ and $n\geqslant 1$, where $\psi_1(z)$ is given in (44). Our proof start from considering the difference

$$\Delta^*_{n,m} := V^*_{n,m} - c_0 H^{(2)}_m - \frac{a_1 H_m + \psi_1(\alpha) + c_1 H^{(2)}_m}{n},$$

and specify the involved coefficients and $\psi_1(z)$ such that $\Delta^*_{n,m} = O(n^{-2}H_m)$. By (46), $\Delta^*_{n,m}$ satisfies, for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$, the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\Delta^*_{n,m} - \Delta^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) = \tilde{E}_1(n,m), \tag{49}$$

with the initial value is $\Delta^*_{n,0} = -\frac{\psi_1(0)}{n}$, where ($T^*_{n,m}$ being defined in (47))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_1(n,m) := T^*_{n,m} - \sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\Bigg\{ &(c_0+\frac{c_1}{n})\left(H^{(2)}_m - H^{(2)}_{m-\ell}\right) + \frac{a_1}{n}\left(H_m - H_{m-\ell}\right)\\ &+ \frac{\psi_1\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - \psi_1\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)}{n}\Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will derive an asymptotic expansion for $\tilde{E}_1(n,m)$. For that purpose, we use the expansions (37), (38) as well as Theorem 2 in Section 4, and apply the same error analysis used for $\mu^*_{n,m}$. A careful analysis then leads to

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\mu^*_{n,m}-\mu^*_{n,m-\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{mn}+\frac{1}{n^2}\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{(1+\alpha\phi_1'(\alpha))^2}{\alpha^2}S_2(\alpha)\right) + \frac{3}{2mn^2}+\frac{[\![m\geqslant 2]\!]}{2m(m-1)n^2}-\frac{[\![m=1]\!]}{n^2}+O(n^{-3}), \tag{50}$$

and

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(H^{(2)}_m-H^{(2)}_{m-\ell}\right) = \frac{1}{mn}+\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{S_1(\alpha)-\alpha}{\alpha^2}\right)-\frac{1}{2mn^2}+\frac{[\![m\geqslant 2]\!]}{2m(m-1)n^2}-\frac{[\![m=1]\!]}{n^2}+O(n^{-3}), \tag{51}$$

both holding uniformly for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$ as $n\to\infty$.

Collecting the expansions (37), (38), (50) and (51), we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_1(n,m)=\frac{1-c_0}{mn}+\frac{1}{n^2}\Big\{-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{(1+\alpha\phi_1'(\alpha))^2}{\alpha^2}S_2(\alpha)-\frac{c_0}{\alpha^2}\left(S_1(\alpha)-\alpha\right) -\left(\frac{a_1}{\alpha}+\psi_1'(\alpha)\right)S_1(\alpha)\Big\}+\frac{1}{mn^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{c_0}{2}-c_1\right) -\frac{[\![m=1]\!](1-c_0)}{n^2}+\frac{[\![m\geqslant 2]\!](1-c_0)}{2m(m-1)n^2}+O(n^{-3}),$$

uniformly for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$.

We can now specify all the undetermined constants and $\psi_1(z)$ such that all terms except the last will vanish and $\tilde{E}_1(n,m)=O(n^{-3})$. This entails first the choices $c_0=1$ and $c_1=2$.

It remains only the $\frac{1}{n^2}$-term. We consider the limit when α tends to zero using the Taylor expansions (22), and deduce that $a_1=-2$. These values give the equation satisfied by $\psi_1'(z)$

$$\psi_1'(z)S_1(z)=-\frac{S_1(z)}{z^2}+\frac{(1+z\phi_1'(z))^2}{z^2}S_2(z)+\frac{2S_1(z)}{z},$$

which in view of (3) leads to the differential equation

$$\psi_1'(z)=\frac{S_2(z)}{S_1^3(z)}-\frac{1}{z^2}+\frac{2}{z}. \tag{52}$$

Thus with the choices $c_0=1$, $a_1=-2$, $c_1=2$, and the function $\psi_1'(z)$ by (52), we get the bound $\tilde{E}_1(n,m)=O(n^{-3})$ uniformly for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$. Accordingly, by (49), the sequences $\Delta_{n,m}:=n^2\Delta^*_{n,m}$ satisfy the recurrence

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m}\lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(\Delta_{n,m}-\Delta_{n,m-\ell}\right)=O(n^{-1})\qquad (1\leqslant m\leqslant n),$$

with $\Delta_{n,0}=-\psi_1(0)n$. Choose now the initial value $\psi_1(0)=0$, so that $\Delta_{n,0}=0$ and Lemma 3 can be applied. This implies that $\Delta_{n,m}=O(H_m)$, and consequently $\Delta^*_{n,m}=O(n^{-2}H_m)$.

Also $\psi_1(z)$ is indeed given by (44). In particular, the first few terms in the Taylor expansion of $\psi_1(z)$ are given as follows.

$$\psi_1(z) = \tfrac{11}{4}z - \tfrac{49}{36}z^2 + \tfrac{2473}{4320}z^3 + \tfrac{1307}{14400}z^4 - \tfrac{12743687}{18144000}z^5 + \tfrac{194960323}{152409600}z^6 + \cdots.$$

This completes the proof of the asymptotic expansion (48) for $V^*_{n,m}$. The more refined approximation (45) follows the same line of proof but with more detailed expansions.

6 Limit laws when $m \to \infty$

We show in this section that the distribution of $X_{n,m}$, when properly normalized, tends to a Gumbel (or extreme-value or double exponential) distribution, as $m \to \infty$, $m \leqslant n$. The proof consists in showing that the result (6) when $m = O(1)$ extends to all $m \leqslant n$ but requires an additional correction term ϕ_1 coming from the linear part of the random variables, which complicates significantly the proof.

The standard Gumbel distribution $\mathscr{G}(1)$ (with mode zero, mean γ) is characterized by the distribution function $e^{-e^{-x}}$ and the moment generating function $\Gamma(1-s)$, respectively. Note that if $X \sim \mathrm{Exp}(1)$, then $-\log X \sim \mathscr{G}(1)$, which was the description used in [16].

The genesis of the Gumbel distribution is easily seen as follows.

Lemma 6. *Let $\eta_m := \sum_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \mathrm{Exp}(r)$, where the m exponential random variables are independent. Then $\eta_m - \log m$ converges in distribution to the Gumbel distribution*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\eta_m - \log m \leqslant x\right) \to e^{-e^{-x}} \qquad (x > 0; m \to \infty).$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{(\eta_m - H_m)s}\right) = \prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{r}}}{1-\frac{s}{r}} \to \prod_{r\geqslant 1} \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{r}}}{1-\frac{s}{r}} = e^{-\gamma s}\Gamma(1-s),$$

uniformly for $|s| \leqslant 1-\varepsilon$. Here we used the infinite-product representation of the Gamma function

$$\Gamma(1+s) = e^{-\gamma s} \prod_{r\geqslant 1} \frac{e^{\frac{s}{r}}}{1+\frac{s}{r}} \qquad (s \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}^-).$$

The lemma then follows from the asymptotic estimate

$$H_m = \log m + \gamma + O(m^{-1}) \qquad (m \to \infty),$$

and Curtiss's theorem (see [19, §5.2.3]). ∎

Unlike the case when $m = O(1)$, we need to subtract more terms to have the limit distribution.

Proposition 1. *For $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, we have the uniform asymptotic approximation*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{X_{n,m}}{en}\, s - (H_m + \phi_1(\frac{m}{n}))s}\right) = \left(1 + O\left(\frac{H_m}{n}\right)\right) \prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{r}}}{1-\frac{s}{r}},$$

for $|s| \leqslant 1-\varepsilon$, where ϕ_1 is defined in (3).

Note that $\phi_1(x) = O(x)$ as $x \to 0$, and thus $\phi_1(\frac{m}{n}) = o(1)$ when $m = O(1)$. In this case, the proposition re-proves Theorem 1 (with an explicit error term).

A combination of Lemma 6 and Proposition 1 leads to the limit law for $X_{n,m}$ in the remaining range.

Theorem 6. *If $m \to \infty$ with n and $m \leqslant n$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_{n,m}}{en} - \log m - \phi_1(\tfrac{m}{n}) \leqslant x\right) \to e^{-e^{-x}} \qquad (x > 0),$$

where ϕ_1 is defined in (3).

Theorem 7. *The number X_n of steps used by the $(1+1)$-EA to reach the final state $f(\mathbf{x}) = n$, when starting from the initial state $f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathrm{Binom}(n; 1-\rho)$, satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n}{en} - \log \rho n - \phi_1(\rho) \leqslant x\right) \to e^{-e^{-x}} \qquad (x > 0).$$

From Figure 4, we see the fast convergence of the distribution to the limit law.



Figure 4: *Distributions of $\frac{X_n}{en} - \log n - \log 2 - \phi_1(\frac{1}{2})$ for $n = 15, \ldots, 35$, and the limiting Gumbel curve.*

Outline of proofs. We focus on the proof of Proposition 1 for which we introduce the following normalized function

$$F_{n,m}(s) := \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{X_{n,m}}{en}s}\right) e^{-\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)s}}{\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \frac{1}{1-\frac{s}{r}}} = \frac{P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right) e^{-H_m s - \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)s}}{\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{r}}}{1-\frac{s}{r}}}.$$

Here the probability generating function $P_{n,m}(t) := \mathbb{E}\left(t^{X_{n,m}}\right)$ of $X_{n,m}$ satisfies the recurrence (4) and the function $\phi(x)$ is any $C^2[0,1]$-function with $\phi(0) = 0$ (because in the proof we will require a Taylor expansion of order two). It turns out that if we choose $\phi(x) = \phi_1(x)$, where ϕ_1 (see (3)) appears as the second-order term in the asymptotic expansion of the mean (see (25)), then

$$F_{n,m}(s) \sim 1,$$

uniformly for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, $n \to \infty$, and $|s| \leqslant 1 - \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is independent of m, n. Indeed, our induction proof here does not rely on any information of the mean asymptotics and entails particularly the right choice of $\phi(x)$. This is why we specify ϕ only at a later stage.

The recurrence satisfied by $F_{n,m}$. By (4), $F_{n,m}(s)$ satisfies the following recurrence

$$F_{n,m}(s) = \frac{e^{\frac{s}{en}} \sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell} F_{n,m-\ell}(s) e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s} \prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)}{1-\left(1-\Lambda_{n,m}\right)e^{\frac{s}{en}}},$$

for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, with $F_{n,0}(s) = 1$, where $\Lambda_{n,m} := \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}$.

An auxiliary sum. Since we expect $F_{n,m}(s)$ to be close to 1, we replace all occurrences of F on the right-hand side by 1 and consider the following function

$$G_{n,m}(s) := \frac{e^{\frac{s}{en}} \sum_{1\leqslant \ell\leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell} e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s} \prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m} \left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)}{1-\left(1-\Lambda_{n,m}\right)e^{\frac{s}{en}}}.$$

The following lemma is the crucial step in our proof.

Lemma 7. *Let $\phi(x)$ be a C^2-function on the unit interval satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$. Then*

$$G_{n,m}(s) = \frac{1-\frac{s}{m}\left(1+\alpha\phi'(\alpha)\right)\frac{S_1(\alpha)}{S(\alpha)}+O\left(\frac{1}{mn}\right)}{1-\frac{s}{m}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{S(\alpha)}+O\left(\frac{1}{mn}\right)}, \tag{53}$$

where the O-terms hold uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, and $|s| \leqslant 1-\varepsilon$.

Proof. The proof consists in a detailed inspection of all factors, using estimates (20) and (21) we derived earlier for $\Lambda_{n,m}^{(r)}$. We consider first the case when $m = O(1)$. In this case, $S(\alpha), S_1(\alpha) = \alpha + O(\alpha^2)$ and the numerator and the denominator of (53) both have the form

$$1-\frac{s}{m}+O(n^{-1}),$$

which can be readily checked by using the estimates (7) and

$$\Lambda_{n,m} = e^{-1}\alpha + O(\alpha^2).$$

From now on, we assume $m \geqslant m_0$, where m_0 is sufficiently large, say $m_0 \geqslant 10$. Throughout the proof, all O-terms hold uniformly for $|s| \leqslant 1-\varepsilon$ and $m_0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and n large enough.

We begin with the denominator of $G_{n,m}(s)$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}1-(1-\Lambda_{n,m})e^{\frac{s}{en}} &= \Lambda_{n,m} - \frac{s}{en} + \Lambda_{n,m}\frac{s}{en} + O\left(n^{-2}\right)\\ &= \Lambda_{n,m}\left(1+\frac{s}{en}\right)\left(1-\frac{s}{en\Lambda_{n,m}}+O\left((mn)^{-1}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate $\Lambda_{n,m} = \Omega(\alpha)$; see (21). By (20) and (21), the second-order term on the right-hand side satisfies

$$\frac{s}{en\Lambda_{n,m}} = \frac{s}{nS(\alpha)(1+O(n^{-1}))} = \frac{s}{m}\cdot\frac{\frac{m}{n}}{S\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)}+O\left((mn)^{-1}\right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$1-(1-\Lambda_{n,m})e^{\frac{s}{en}}=\Lambda_{n,m}\left(1+\frac{s}{en}\right)\left(1-\frac{s}{m}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{S(\alpha)}+O\left((mn)^{-1}\right)\right). \tag{54}$$

Now we turn to the numerator of $G_{n,m}(s)$ and look first at the exponential term

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s}&=e^{-\frac{\ell}{n}\phi'(\alpha)s+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{n^2}\right)}\\&=\left(1-\frac{\ell}{n}\,\phi'(\alpha)s\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{n^2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m$, where we used the twice continuous differentiability of ϕ.

Consider now the finite product $\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)$. Obviously, for $|s|\leqslant 1$, we have the uniform bound

$$\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left|1-\frac{s}{r}\right|\leqslant\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)\leqslant e^{H_m}=O(m).$$

On the other hand, we also have the finer estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)&=e^{-(H_m-H_{m-\ell})s}\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{m^2}\right)\right)\\&=e^{-\frac{\ell}{m}\,s+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{m^2}\right)}\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{m^2}\right)\right)\\&=\left(1-\frac{\ell}{m}\,s\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{m^2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $1\leqslant\ell=o(m)$.

Combining these two estimates, we obtain the following approximation

$$\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)=\left(1-\frac{\ell}{m}\,s\right)\left(1+[\![\ell\geqslant 2]\!]O\left(\frac{\ell^2}{m^2}\right)+[\![\ell>\lceil\sqrt{m}\rceil]\!]O(m)\right),$$

which holds uniformly for $1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m$. Thus the numerator, up to the factor $e^{\frac{s}{en}}$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s}\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)\\&\quad=\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}-\frac{s}{m}\left(1+\alpha\phi'(\alpha)\right)\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\\&\qquad+O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{2\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^2\lambda_{n,m,\ell}+\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^2\lambda_{n,m,\ell}+m\sum_{\lceil\sqrt{m}\rceil+1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Each of the sums can be readily estimated as in (33) and (34), and we have

$$m^{-2}\sum_{2\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^2\lambda_{n,m,\ell}=O\left(n^{-2}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$(mn)^{-1}\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\ell^2\lambda_{n,m,\ell}=O\left((mn)^{-1}\alpha\right)=O\left(n^{-2}\right).$$

Finally, for $m\geqslant 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}m\sum_{\lceil\sqrt{m}\rceil+1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}&=O\left(\frac{m\alpha^{\sqrt{m}+1}}{\Gamma(\sqrt{m}+2)}\right)\\&=O\left(m^{7/4}n^{-1}e^{-\sqrt{m}(\log n-\frac{1}{2}\log m-1)}\right)=O(n^{-2})\end{aligned}$$

Collecting these estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m}\lambda_{n,m,\ell}e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s}\prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right)\\&\quad=\Lambda_{n,m}-\frac{s}{m}\left(1+\alpha\phi'(\alpha)\right)\Lambda_{n,m}^{(1)}+O\left(n^{-2}\right)\\&\quad=\Lambda_{n,m}\left(1-\frac{s}{m}\left(1+\alpha\phi'(\alpha)\right)\frac{\Lambda_{n,m}^{(1)}}{\Lambda_{n,m}}+O\left((mn)^{-1}\right)\right)\\&\quad=\Lambda_{n,m}\left(1-\frac{s}{m}\left(1+\alpha\phi'(\alpha)\right)\frac{S_1(\alpha)}{S(\alpha)}+O\left((mn)^{-1}\right)\right),\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

by applying (20).

By (54), (55) and the simple estimate

$$e^{\frac{s}{en}}=\left(1+\tfrac{s}{en}\right)\left(1+O(n^{-2})\right),$$

we conclude (53). ∎

Corollary 3. *Let* $\phi(x)=\phi_1(x)=\int_0^x\left(\frac{1}{S_1(t)}-\frac{1}{t}\right)\mathrm{d}t$. *Then*

$$G_{n,m}(s)=1+O\big((mn)^{-1}\big),$$

where the O*-term holds uniformly for* $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$, n *large enough and* $|s|\leqslant 1-\varepsilon$.

Proof. To obtain the error term $O((mn)^{-1})$, we choose ϕ in a way that the two middle terms in the fraction of (53) are identical, which means

$$\frac{x}{S(x)}=(1+x\phi'(x))\frac{S_1(x)}{S(x)}.$$

Observe that $S(x)>0$ for $x>0$. This, together with $\phi_1(0)=0$, implies $\phi=\phi_1$, which is not only a C^2-function but also analytic in the unit circle. ∎

Proof of Proposition 1. We now prove Proposition 1 by induction.

Lemma 8. *Let $\phi = \phi_1$. Then*

$$F_{n,m}(s) = 1 + O\left(n^{-1}H_m\right),$$

uniformly for $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, n large enough and $|s| \leqslant \kappa$, $\kappa \in (0,1)$.

Proof. We use induction on m and show that there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$|F_{n,m}(s) - 1| \leqslant Cn^{-1}H_m,$$

for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, $n \geqslant n_0$ large enough and $|s| \leqslant \kappa$.

When $m = 0$, the lemma holds, since $F_{n,0}(s) \equiv 1$.

Assume that the lemma holds for all functions $F_{n,k}(s)$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m$ and $n \geqslant n_0$. By Corollary 3, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, $n \geqslant n_1$ large enough and $|s| \leqslant \kappa_1$, $\kappa_1 > 0$,

$$|G_{n,m}(s) - 1| \leqslant C_1(mn)^{-1}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}|F_{n,m}(s) - 1| &= |F_{n,m}(s) - G_{n,m}(s) + G_{n,m}(s) - 1| \\ &\leqslant |F_{n,m}(s) - G_{n,m}(s)| + C_1(mn)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}&|F_{n,m}(s) - G_{n,m}(s)| \\ &\quad = \frac{\left|e^{\frac{s}{en}} \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}(F_{n,m-\ell}(s) - 1)e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s} \prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1 - \frac{s}{r}\right)\right|}{\left|1 - \left(1 - \Lambda_{n,m}\right)e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right|}.\end{aligned}$$

Since we assume $|s| \leqslant 1$, the product involved in the sum on the right-hand side is nonnegative and we have, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}|F_{n,m}(s) - G_{n,m}(s)| &\leqslant \frac{e^{\frac{s}{en}} \sum_{1\leqslant\ell\leqslant m} \lambda_{n,m,\ell}e^{-\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)-\phi\left(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\right)\right)s}\frac{CH_{m-\ell}}{n} \prod_{m-\ell+1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\left(1 - \frac{s}{r}\right)}{1 - \left(1 - \Lambda_{n,m}\right)e^{\frac{s}{en}}} \\ &\leqslant \frac{CH_{m-1}}{n}\,G_{n,m}(s) \leqslant \frac{CH_{m-1}}{n} + \frac{CH_{m-1}}{n} \cdot |G_{n,m}(s) - 1| \\ &\leqslant \frac{CH_{m-1}}{n} + \frac{CH_{m-1}}{n} \cdot \frac{C_1}{mn}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|F_{n,m}(s) - 1| \leqslant \frac{CH_m}{n} + \frac{1}{mn}\left(C_1 - C + C_1C\frac{H_{m-1}}{n}\right).$$

Choose first $n_2 \geqslant n_1$ such that $\frac{H_{n_2-1}}{n_2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2C_1}$, which implies that $C_1C\frac{H_{m-1}}{n} \leqslant \frac{C}{2}$ for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n \geqslant n_2$. Then choose $C = 2C_1$. We then have

$$C_1 - C + \frac{C_1CH_{m-1}}{n} \leqslant C_1 - \frac{C}{2} \leqslant 0,$$

and thus

$$|F_{n,m}(s) - 1| \leqslant \frac{CH_m}{n}.$$

Note that apart from requiring $|s| \leqslant 1$ the only restriction on s comes from $G_{n,m}(s)$, thus we may choose $\kappa = \min(1, \kappa_1)$. This completes the proof. ∎

The Gumbel limit laws for $X_{n,m}$ ($m \to \infty$). We prove Theorem 6 by Proposition 1. Since $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{X_{n,m}}{en}s-(\log m+\phi_1(\alpha))s}\right) &= P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right)e^{-H_m s+\gamma s-\phi_1(\frac{m}{n})s}\left(1+O\left(m^{-1}\right)\right)\\ &= e^{\gamma s}F_{n,m}(s)\prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant m}\frac{e^{-\frac{s}{r}}}{1-\frac{s}{r}}\left(1+O\left(m^{-1}\right)\right)\\ &= \Gamma(1-s)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\log m}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right). \qquad (56)\end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 6 follows from another application of Curtiss's theorem (see [19, §5.2.3]).

The Gumbel limit law for X_n. We now prove Theorem 7, starting from the moment generating function ($\bar{\rho} := 1 - \rho$)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{X_n s}\right) = \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n}\binom{n}{m}\rho^m\bar{\rho}^{n-m}P_{n,m}\left(e^s\right).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{X_n}{en}s-(\log\rho n+\phi_1(\rho))s}\right) = \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n}\binom{n}{m}\rho^m\bar{\rho}^{n-m}P_{n,m}\left(e^{\frac{s}{en}}\right)e^{-(H_m-\gamma+\phi_1(\alpha))s+\delta_{n,m}s},$$

where

$$\delta_{n,m} := H_m - \log\rho n - \gamma + \phi_1(\alpha) - \phi_1(\rho).$$

Since the binomial distribution is highly concentrated around the range $m = \rho n + x\sqrt{\rho\bar{\rho}n}$ where $x = o(n^{\frac{1}{6}})$, we see that

$$\delta_{n,m} = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|\right),$$

for m in this central range. By a standard argument (Gaussian approximation of the binomial and exponential tail estimates) using the expansion (56), we then deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{X_n}{en}s-(\log\rho n+\phi_1(\rho))s}\right) = \Gamma(1-s)\left(1+O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right).$$

This proves Theorem 7.

7 Analysis of the $(1+1)$-EA for LEADINGONES

We consider the complexity of the $(1+1)$-EA when the underlying fitness function is the number of leading ones. This problem has been examined repeatedly in the literature due to the simple structures it exhibits; see [4, 14, 26] and the references therein. The strongest results obtained were those by Ladret [26] (almost unknown in the EA literature) where she proved that the optimization time under LEADINGONES is asymptotically normally distributed with mean asymptotic to $\frac{e^c-1}{2c^2}n^2$ and variance to $\frac{3(e^{2c}-1)}{8c^3}n^3$, where $p=\frac{c}{n}$, $c>0$.

We re-visit this problem and obtain similar type of results by a completely different approach, which can be readily amended for obtaining the convergence rate.

Throughout this section, the probability p still carries the same meaning from Algorithm $(1+1)$-EA and $q=1-p$.

Lemma 9. *Let $Y_{n,m}$ denote the conditional optimization time when beginning with a random input (each bit being 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$) that has $n-m$ leading ones. Then the moment generating function $Q_{n,m}(s)$ of $Y_{n,m}$ satisfies the recurrence relation*

$$Q_{n,m}(s)=\frac{pq^{n-m}e^s}{1-(1-pq^{n-m})e^s}\left(2^{1-m}+\sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m}\frac{Q_{n,\ell}(s)}{2^{m-\ell}}\right), \tag{57}$$

for $1\leqslant m\leqslant n$, where $q=1-p$.

Proof. The probability of jumping from a state with $n-m$ leading ones to another state with $n-m+\ell$ leading ones is given by

$$(1-p)^{n-m}\cdot p\cdot 2^{-\ell}\qquad (1\leqslant \ell<m),$$

which corresponds to the situation when the first $n-m$ bits do not toggle their values, the $(n-m+1)$st bit toggles (from 0 to 1), together with the following $\ell-1$ bits also being 1. When $\ell=m$, the probability becomes

$$(1-p)^{n-m}\cdot p\cdot 2^{-\ell+1}.$$

We thus obtain the recurrence relation

$$Q_{n,m}(s)=pq^{n-m}e^s\left(2^{1-m}+\sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m}\frac{Q_{n,\ell}(s)}{2^{m-\ell}}\right)+(1-pq^{n-m})e^sQ_{n,m}(s),$$

which implies (57). ∎

The most interesting case is when $p\asymp n^{-1}$ (roughly, pq^n is linear, giving rise to polynomial bounds for the cost), all other cases when $pn\to\infty$ lead to higher-order complexity.

Small m. We start with the simplest case when $m=1$ and obtain, by (57),

$$Q_{n,1}(s)=\frac{pq^{n-1}e^s}{1-(1-pq^{n-1})e^s}. \tag{58}$$

Then the mean of $Y_{n,1}$ is simply given by

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{n,1}) = \frac{1}{pq^{n-1}},$$

which, by substituting $p = \frac{c}{n}$, yields

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{n,1}) = \frac{e^c}{c}\, n - \left(1 - \frac{c}{2}\right) e^c + O\left(\frac{e^c}{n}(1 + c^3)\right).$$

Note that this estimate holds as long as $c = o(\sqrt{n})$. Similarly, the variance is given by

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_{n,1}) = \frac{1}{(pq^{n-1})^2} - \frac{1}{pq^{n-1}},$$

which satisfies, when $p = \frac{c}{n}$,

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_{n,1}) = \frac{e^{2c}}{c^2}\, n^2 - \frac{e^c + (2-c)e^{2c}}{c}\, n + \left(1 - \frac{c}{2}\right) e^c + \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}c + \frac{c^2}{2}\right) e^{2c} + O\left(\frac{e^{2c}}{n}(c^2 + c^4)\right),$$

uniformly when $c = o(\sqrt{n})$.

We then consider the normalized random variables $cY_{n,1}/(e^c n)$. By the expansion

$$\frac{\frac{c}{n}\left(1 - \frac{c}{n}\right)^{n-m} \exp\left(\frac{ce^{-c}}{n}\, s\right)}{1 - \left(1 - \frac{c}{n}\left(1 - \frac{c}{n}\right)^{n-m}\right) \exp\left(\frac{ce^{-c}}{n}\, s\right)} = \frac{1}{1-s} + O\left(\frac{c|s|(m+c)}{n|1-s|^2}\right),$$

uniformly when s is away from 1 and $m = o(n)$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{cY_{n,1}s/(e^c n)}\right) \to \frac{1}{1-s},$$

implying that the limit law is an exponential distribution with the density e^{-x}. While (58) shows that $Y_{n,1} \equiv X_{n,1}$ when $p = \frac{1}{n}$, they behave differently when $m \geqslant 2$.

Theorem 8. *For each $1 \leqslant m = O(1)$, the limit distribution of $cY_{n,m}/(e^c n)$ is a binomial mixture of Gamma distributions; more precisely,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{cY_{n,m}}{e^c n} \leqslant x\right) \to \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{0 \leqslant j < m} \binom{m-1}{j} \int_0^x e^{-t} \frac{t^j}{j!}\, \mathrm{d}t \qquad (x > 0), \tag{59}$$

as $n \to \infty$. The mean and the variance satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{n,m}) \sim \frac{m+1}{2ce^{-c}}\, n, \qquad \mathbb{V}(Y_{n,m}) \sim \frac{3m+1}{4c^2 e^{-2c}}\, n^2. \tag{60}$$

Note that when $m = 1$, (59) degenerates to the exponential distribution. On the other hand, the normalizing factor $ce^{-c}n$ is not asymptotically equivalent to the mean.

Proof. By induction and (57), we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{cY_{n,m}s/(e^c n)}\right) \to \frac{(1-\frac{s}{2})^{m-1}}{(1-s)^m},$$

when $m = O(1)$. Since

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x(1-s)} \sum_{0\leqslant j<m} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \binom{m-1}{j} \frac{x^j}{j!}\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{(1-\frac{s}{2})^{m-1}}{(1-s)^m},$$

we then deduce (59). The mean and the variance then follows from straightforward calculations. ∎

Mean and the variance of $Y_{n,m}$: $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. The recurrence (57) is much simpler than (4) and we can indeed obtain very precise expressions and approximations for the mean and the variance.

Theorem 9. *The mean $\nu_{n,m}$ and the variance $\varsigma_{n,m}$ of $Y_{n,m}$ are given explicitly as follows. For $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$*

$$\nu_{n,m} := \mathbb{E}(Y_{n,m}) = \frac{1}{pq^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1-q^{m-1}}{2p} + q^{m-1}\right), \tag{61}$$

and

$$\varsigma_{n,m}^2 := \mathbb{V}(Y_{n,m}) = -\nu_{n,m} + \frac{3q^2-(4q^2-1)q^{2m}}{4p^3(1+q)q^{2n}}. \tag{62}$$

With these closed-form expressions, we easily obtain, assuming $p = \frac{c}{n}$, where $c > 0$,

$$\nu_{n,m} = \frac{e^c(m+1)}{2c}\,n - \frac{e^c}{4}\left(m^2+(3-c)m-c\right) + O\left(\frac{ce^c}{n}\left(m^3+mc^2\right)\right),$$

and

$$\varsigma_{n,m}^2 = \frac{e^{2c}(3m+1)}{4c^2}\,n^2 - \frac{e^{2c}}{8c}\left(3m^2+(5-3c)m-c+2(m+1)e^{-c}\right)n + O\left(e^{2c}\left(m^3+c^2\right)\right),$$

uniformly for $cm = o(n)$. We see that the asymptotic equivalents (60) indeed hold in the wider range $cm = o(n)$.

More uniform approximations have the following forms.

Corollary 4. *Assume that $p = \frac{c}{n}$, where $c = o(\sqrt{n})$. Then, uniformly for $0 \leqslant \alpha := \frac{m}{n} \leqslant 1$,*

$$\nu_{n,m} = \frac{e^c}{2c^2}\left(1-e^{-c\alpha}\right)n^2 + \frac{e^c}{4c}\left(c-2+e^{-c\alpha}\left(4-c+c\alpha\right)\right)n + O\left(c(c+1)e^c\right), \tag{63}$$

and

$$\varsigma_{n,m}^2 = \frac{3e^{2c}}{8c^3}\left(1-e^{-2c\alpha}\right)n^3 + O\left(c^{-2}e^{2c}(1+c)n^2\right). \tag{64}$$

Proof of Theorem 9. Our approach is based on (57) and it turns out that all moments satisfy the same simple recurrence of the following type.

Lemma 10. *The solution to the recurrence relation*

$$a_m = b_m + \sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m} \frac{a_\ell}{2^{m-\ell}} \qquad (m \geqslant 1),$$

is given by the closed-form expression

$$a_m = b_m + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leqslant j<m} b_j. \tag{65}$$

Proof. The corresponding generating functions $f(z) := \sum_{m\geqslant 1} a_m z^m$ and $g(z) := \sum_{m\geqslant 1} b_m z^m$ satisfy the equation

$$f(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{2-z} f(z),$$

or

$$f(z) = \frac{1-\frac{z}{2}}{1-z} g(z).$$

This proves (65). ∎

From (57) (by taking derivative with respect to s and then substituting $s = 1$), we see that the mean $\nu_{n,m}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$\nu_{n,m} = \frac{1}{pq^{n-m}} + \sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m} \frac{\nu_{n,\ell}}{2^{m-\ell}} \qquad (m \geqslant 1).$$

Substituting $b_m = 1/(pq^{n-m})$ into (65), we obtain (61).

Similarly, for the second moment $s_{n,m} := \mathbb{E}(Y_{n,m}^2)$, we have the recurrence

$$s_{n,m} = \frac{2\nu_{n,m}-1}{pq^{n-m}} + \sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m} \frac{s_{n,\ell}}{2^{m-\ell}}.$$

By the same procedure, we obtain

$$s_{n,m} = -\nu_{n,m} + \frac{q^2(2-q) - (q+1)q^{m+1}(2q-1) + (2q-1)(2q^2-1)q^{2m}}{2p^4(1+q)q^{2n}}, \tag{66}$$

implying (62). This proves Theorem 9. ∎

The proofs of the two Corollaries are straightforward and omitted.

A finite-product representation for $Q_{n,m}(s)$. The recurrence relation (57) can indeed be solved explicitly as follows.

Proposition 2. *The moment generating function $Q_{n,m}(s)$ of $Y_{n,m}$ has the closed-form*

$$Q_{n,m}(s) = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1-e^{-s}}{pq^{n-m}}} \prod_{1\leqslant j<m} \frac{1-\frac{1-e^{-s}}{2pq^{n-j}}}{1-\frac{1-e^{-s}}{pq^{n-j}}}, \tag{67}$$

for $m \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Let $\omega := (1-e^{-s})/(pq^n)$. We start with the recurrence (from (57))

$$Q_{n,m}(s) = \omega q^m Q_{n,m}(s) + 2^{1-m} + \sum_{1\leqslant \ell<m} \frac{Q_{n,\ell}(s)}{2^{m-\ell}},$$

which, by (65), has the alternative form

$$Q_{n,m}(s) = 1 + \omega q^m Q_{n,m}(s) + \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{1\leqslant h<m} q^h Q_{n,h}(s). \tag{68}$$

From (68), we see that the bivariate generating function

$$Q_n(z,s) := \sum_{m\geqslant 1} Q_{n,m}(s) z^m$$

of $Q_{n,m}(s)$ satisfies

$$Q_n(z,s) = \frac{z}{1-z} + \omega Q_n(qz,s) + \frac{\omega}{2}\cdot\frac{z}{1-z} Q_n(qz,s),$$

which implies the simpler functional equation

$$Q_n(z,s) = \frac{z}{1-z} + \omega \frac{1-\frac{z}{2}}{1-z} Q_n(qz,s).$$

Multiplying both sides by $1-z$ gives

$$(1-z)Q_n(z,s) = z + \omega\left(1-\frac{z}{2}\right) Q_n(qz,s),$$

implying the relation

$$\frac{Q_{n,m}(s)}{Q_{n,m-1}(s)} = \frac{1-\frac{1}{2}\omega q^{m-1}}{1-\omega q^m} \qquad (m\geqslant 2).$$

Accordingly, we obtain the closed-form expression (67). ∎

Let

$$G_m(t) := \frac{pq^{n-m}t}{1-(1-pq^{n-m})t}$$

denote the probability generating function of a geometric distribution Geo(pq^{n-m}) with parameter pq^{n-m} and support $\{1,2,\dots\}$.

Corollary 5. *The random variables $Y_{n,m}$ can be decomposed as the sum of m independent random variables*

$$Y_{n,m} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{n,m}^{[0]} + \cdots + Z_{n,m}^{[m-1]}, \tag{69}$$

where $Z_{n,m}^{[0]} \sim$ Geo(pq^{n-m}) and the $Z_{n,m}^{[j]}$ are mixture of Geo(pq^{n-j})

$$\mathbb{E}\left(t^{Z_{n,m}^{[j]}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1-(1-2pq^{n-j})t}{1-(1-pq^{n-j})t} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{R_j(t)}{2} \qquad (j=1,\dots,m-1).$$

Thus the mean of $Y_{n,m}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{n,m}) = \sum_{0\leqslant j<m} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{n,m}^{[j]}\right) = \frac{1}{pq^{n-m}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leqslant j<m} \frac{1}{pq^{n-j}},$$

which is identical to (61). Similarly, the variance of $Y_{n,m}$ satisfies

$$\varsigma_{n,m}^2 = \sum_{0\leqslant j<m} \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{n,m}^{[j]}\right) = \frac{3-2pq^{n-m}}{8(pq^{n-m})^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leqslant j<m} \frac{1-pq^{n-j}}{(pq^{n-j})^2},$$

which is also identical to (62).

Theorem 10. *The distributions of* $\frac{Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}}{\varsigma_{n,m}}$ *are asymptotically normal*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}}{\varsigma_{n,m}} \leqslant x\right) \to \Phi(x),$$

uniformly as $m \to \infty$ *(with* n*) and* $m \leqslant n$*, where* $\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}t$ *denotes the standard normal distribution function.*

Proof. Again from the decomposition (69), we derive the following expression for the third central moment

$$\kappa_{n,m} := \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}\right)^3 = \frac{7q^3-(8q^3-1)q^{3m}}{4(1-q^3)(pq^n)^3} - 3\varsigma_{n,m}^2 - 2\nu_{n,m}.$$

Similarly, the fourth central moment satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}\right)^4 - 3\varsigma_{n,m}^4 = {}& \frac{3(15q^4-(16q^4-1)q^{4m})}{8(1-q^4)(pq^n)^4} \\ & - 6\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}\right)^3 - 11\varsigma_{n,m}^2 - 6\nu_{n,m},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}\right)^4 = 3\varsigma_{n,m}^4(1+o(1)),$$

uniformly for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. We then deduce a central limit theorem by, say Lyapounov's condition, or by Levy's continuity theorem; see, for example, [30]. We can indeed derive an optimal Berry-Esseen bound by more refined Fourier argument, details being omitted here. ∎

In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n,m}-\nu_{n,m}\right)^4 - 3\varsigma_{n,m}^4 \sim \frac{45(1-e^{-4c\alpha})}{32c^5e^{-4c}}\,n^5, \tag{70}$$

when $m \to \infty$ and $m \leqslant n$. This will be needed later.

Random input. Now consider the cost Y_n used by Algorithm $(1+1)$-EA when starting from a random input (each bit being 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$). Then its moment generating function satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{Y_n s}\right) := 2^{-n} + \sum_{1\leqslant m\leqslant n} 2^{m-n-1} Q_{n,m}(s).$$

Theorem 11. *The random variables Y_n are asymptotically normally distributed*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_n-\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}\leqslant x\right)\to \Phi(x),$$

with mean ν_n and variance ς_n asymptotic to

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_n &= \frac{e^c-1}{2c^2}\,n^2 + \frac{(c-2)e^c+2}{4c}\,n + O(1)\\ \varsigma_n &= \frac{e^{2c}-1}{8c^3}\,n^3 + \frac{3e^{2c}(2c-3)-8e^c+17}{16c^2}\,n^2 + O(n),\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

respectively.

In particular, we also have, by replacing the exact mean and variance by the corresponding asymptotic approximations

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y_n-\frac{e^c-1}{2c^2}\,n^2}{\sqrt{\frac{e^{2c}-1}{8c^3}\,n^3}}\leqslant x\right)\to \Phi(x).$$

Proof. By (61), we have

$$\nu_n = \sum_{1\leqslant m\leqslant n} 2^{-n+m-1}\nu_{n,m} = \frac{q}{2p^2}\left(q^{-n}-1\right),$$

and then the first estimate in (71) follows. Similarly, by (66),

$$\varsigma_n^2 = \sum_{0\leqslant m\leqslant n} 2^{-n+m-1}\mathbb{E}(Y_{n,m}^2) - \nu_n^2 = \frac{3q^2}{4p^3(1+q)}\left(q^{-2n}-1\right) - \nu_n,$$

and the second estimate in (71) also follows.

For the asymptotic normality, we consider the characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{Y_n-\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it}\right) = 2^{-n} + \sum_{0\leqslant m<n} 2^{-n+m-1} Q_{n,m}\left(\frac{it}{\varsigma_n}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it}.$$

We split the sum into two parts: $0\leqslant n-m\leqslant n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $1\leqslant m<n-n^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Observe that when $n-m\leqslant n^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we have the uniform estimate

$$\nu_n-\nu_{n,m} = O(n|n-m+1|) \quad\text{and}\quad \varsigma_n^2-\varsigma_{n,m}^2 = O\left(n^2|n-m+1|\right),$$

by (63) and (64). We then have the local expansion (see (70))

$$Q_{n,m}\left(\tfrac{it}{\varsigma_n}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it} = \exp\left(\frac{\nu_{n,m}-\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}\,it - \frac{\varsigma_{n,m}^2}{2\varsigma_n^2}\,t^2 + O\left(\frac{|t|^3}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,m}\left(\tfrac{it}{\varsigma_n}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it} &= \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{|n-m+1|}{\sqrt{n}}\,|t| + \frac{|n-m+1|}{n}\,t^2\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{6}}|t| + n^{-\frac{2}{3}}|t|^2\right)\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}(1+o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in m. Consequently,

$$\sum_{n-n^{\frac{1}{3}}\leqslant m\leqslant n} 2^{-n+m-1} Q_{n,m}\left(\tfrac{it}{\varsigma_n}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it} = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}(1+o(1)).$$

The remaining part is negligible since $|Q_{n,m}(e^{it/\sigma})| \leqslant 1$ and

$$\sum_{1\leqslant m\leqslant n-n^{\frac{1}{3}}} 2^{-n+m-1} Q_{n,m}\left(\tfrac{it}{\varsigma_n}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it} = O\left(\sum_{m>n^{\frac{1}{3}}} 2^{-m}\right) = O\left(2^{-n^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right).$$

We conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{Y_n-\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}it}\right) \to e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}},$$

which implies the convergence in distribution of $\frac{Y_n-\nu_n}{\varsigma_n}$ to the standard normal distribution. ∎

References

[1] A. Auger and B. Doerr (Eds.), *Theory of Randomized Search Heuristics: Foundations and Recent Developments*, World Scientific, 2011.

[2] T. Bäck, The interaction of mutation rate, selection, and self-adaptation within a genetic algorithm, in Proceeding of the 2nd Conference on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN-II), Brussels, Belgium, September 28–30, 1992.

[3] H.-G. Beyer, H.-P. Schwefel and I. Wegener, How to analyse evolutionary algorithms, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **287**:1 (2002), 101–130.

[4] S. Böttcher, B. Doerr and F. Neumann, Optimal fixed and adaptive mutation rates for the LeadingOnes problem, *PPSN XI*, Part I, 2010, LNCS 6238, 1–10.

[5] C. A. Coello Coello, Evolutionary multiobjective optimization: a historical view of the field, *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, **1**:1 (2006), 28–36.

[6] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics, The art of finite and infinite expansions*, Revised and enlarged edition, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1974.

[7] K. Deb, *Multi-Objective Optimization Using Evolutionary Algorithms*, John Wiley & Sons, 2001.

[8] B. Doerr, M. Fouz and C. Witt, Quasirandom evolutionary algorithms, In *Proc. GECCO'10* (2010), pp. 1457–1464, ACM Press.

[9] B. Doerr, M. Fouz and C. Witt, Sharp bounds by probability-generating functions and variable drift, in *Proc. GECCO'11* (2011), pp. 2083–2090.

[10] B. Doerr, D. Johannsen and C. Winzen, Multiplicative drift analysis, *Algorithmica*, **64** (2012), 673–697.

[11] B. Doerr, D. Johannsen, T. Kotzing, F. Neumann, and M. Theile, More effective crossover operators for the all-pairs shortest path problem, *Theoretical Computer Science* **471**, (2013) 12–26.

[12] B. Doerr and S. Pohl, Run-time analysis of the $(1 + 1)$ evolutionary algorithm optimizing linear functions over a finite alphabet, in *Proc. GECCO'12* (2012), pp. 1317–1324.

[13] S. Droste, T. Jansen and I. Wegener, A rigorous complexity analysis of the $(1 + 1)$ evolutionary algorithm for linear functions with Boolean inputs, in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation ICEC'98* (IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, 1998), 499–504.

[14] S. Droste, T. Jansen and I. Wegener, On the analysis of the $(1+1)$ evolutionary algorithm, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **276**:1-2 (2002), 51–81.

[15] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[16] J. Garnier, L. Kallel and M. Schoenauer, Rigorous hitting times for binary mutations, *Evolutionary Computation*, **7**:2 (1999) 167–203.

[17] J. He and X. Yao, Drift analysis and average time complexity of evolutionary algorithms, *Artificial Intelligence*, **127** (2001), 57–85.

[18] J. He and X. Yao, From an individual to a population: An analysis of the first hitting time of population-based evolutionary algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, **6**:5 (2002), 495–511.

[19] R. V. Hogg, J. W. McKean and A. T. Craig, *Introduction to Mathematical Statistics*, 7th Edition, Pearson Education Inc., NY, 2013.

[20] J. Horn, Multicriterion decision making, *Handbook of Evolutionary Computation*, Volume 1, F1.9:1–F1.9:15, IOP Publishing Ltd. and Oxford University Press, 1997.

[21] J. Horn, D. E. Goldberg and K. Deb, Long path problems, in *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature PPSN III*, edited by Y. Davidor, H.-P. Schwefel, R. Männer, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 866, Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 149–158.

[22] H.-K. Hwang, On convergence rates of central limit theorems for combinatorial structures, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **19** (1998), 329–343

[23] J. Jagerskupper, Combining Markov-chain analysis and drift analysis: the $(1 + 1)$ evolutionary algorithm on linear functions reloaded, *Algorithmica* **59** (2011), 409–424.

[24] T. Jansen and I. Wegener, The analysis of evolutionary algorithms—A proof that crossover really can help, *Algorithmica*, **34** (2002), 47–66.

[25] T. Jansen and I. Wegener, Real royal road functions—where crossover provably is essential, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **149** (2005), 111–125.

[26] V. Ladret, Asymptotic hitting time for a simple evolutionary model of protein folding, *J. Appl. Probab.*, **42** (2005), 39–51.

[27] H. Mühlenbein, How genetic algorithms really work: mutation and hill-climbing, in *Proc. PPSN'92*, 15–26, 1992.

[28] F. Neumann and C. Witt (2009), Runtime analysis of a simple ant colony optimization algorithm, *Algorithmica*, **54** (2009) 243–255.

[29] F. Neumann and C. Witt, *Bioinspired Computation in Combinatorial Optimization—Algorithms and Their Computational Complexity*, Springer, 2010.

[30] V. V. Petrov, *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975 (translated from the Russian by A. A. Brown).

[31] G. Rudolph, How mutation and selection solve long-path problem in polynomial expected time, *Evolutionary Computation* **4**:3 (1997), 195–205.

[32] D. Sudholt, General lower bounds for the running time of evolutionary algorithms, In *Proc. PPSN'10*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 6238, pp. 124–133 (2010).

[33] D. Sudholt, C. Witt (2010), Runtime analysis of a binary particle swarm optimizer, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **411** (2010) 2084–2100.

[34] C. Witt, Runtime analysis of the $(\mu + 1)$ EA on simple pseudo-Boolean functions, *Evolutionary Computation*, **14** (2006), 65–86.

[35] C. Witt, Tight bounds on the optimization time of a randomized search heuristic on linear functions, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **22**:2 (2013), 294–318.

Appendix. A. Some properties of $S_r(z)$.

We collected here some interesting expressions for $S_r(z)$.

We begin with proving that all S_r can be expressed in terms of S_0 and the two modified Bessel functions

$$\bar{I}_0(\alpha) := I_0\left(2\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right) = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} \frac{\alpha^\ell (1-\alpha)^\ell}{\ell!\ell!},$$

$$\bar{I}_1(\alpha) := \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} I_1\left(2\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right) = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} \frac{\alpha^\ell (1-\alpha)^{\ell-1}}{\ell!(\ell-1)!}.$$

The starting point is the obvious relation ($E_r(z) := \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1} \ell^r z^{\ell-1}$)

$$E_r(z) = zE'_{r-1}(z) + E_{r-1}(z) \qquad (r \geqslant 1).$$

Applying the integral representation (19) and integration by parts, we have

$$S_r(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=c} \left(\frac{\alpha}{z} - (1-\alpha)z\right) E_{r-1}(z) e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}\, \mathrm{d}z.$$

By the same argument used for Corollary 1, we deduce the recurrence

$$S_r(\alpha) = \alpha \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + \sum_{0 \leqslant j < r} \binom{r-1}{j} S_j(\alpha) \left(\alpha + (-1)^{r-j}(1-\alpha)\right), \tag{72}$$

for $r \geqslant 2$ with

$$S_1(\alpha) = (2\alpha - 1)S_0(\alpha) + \alpha \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\bar{I}_1(\alpha).$$

A closed-form expression can be obtained for the recurrence (72) but it is very messy. More precisely, let $f(z) := \sum_{r \geqslant 0} S_r(\alpha) z^r/r!$. Then f satisfies the first-order differential equation

$$f'(z) = \left(\alpha e^z - (1-\alpha)e^{-z}\right) f(z) + \alpha \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\bar{I}_1(\alpha).$$

The solution to the differential equation with the initial condition $f(0) = S_0(\alpha)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = S_0(\alpha) & e^{\alpha(e^z - e^{-z}) + e^{-1} - 1} \\ & + e^{\alpha e^z + (1-\alpha)e^{-z}} \int_0^z \left(\alpha \bar{I}_0(\alpha) e^u + (1-\alpha)\bar{I}_1(\alpha)\right) e^{-\alpha e^u - (1-\alpha)e^{-u}}\, \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $S_r(\alpha)$ has the general form

$$S_r(\alpha) = p_r^{[0]}(\alpha)\bar{I}_0(\alpha) + p_r^{[1]}(\alpha)\bar{I}_1(\alpha) + p_r^{[2]}(\alpha)S_0(\alpha) \qquad (r \geqslant 1),$$

where the $p_r^{[i]}$ are polynomials of α of degree r. Closed-form expressions can be derived but are less simpler than the recurrence (72) for small values of r.

On the other hand, the same argument also leads to

$$S'_r(\alpha) = \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + \sum_{0 \leqslant j < r} \binom{r}{j} S_j(\alpha) \left(1 - (-1)^{r-j}\right) \qquad (r \geqslant 1).$$

In particular, $S'_1(\alpha) = \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + 2S_0(\alpha)$. Note that

$$S'_0(\alpha) = \bar{I}_0(\alpha) + \bar{I}_1(\alpha),$$

implying that

$$S_0(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha \left(\bar{I}_0(u) + \bar{I}_1(u)\right)\, \mathrm{d}u.$$

This in turn gives

$$S_1(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha \left((1 + 2(\alpha - u))\bar{I}_0(u) + 2(\alpha - u)\bar{I}_1(u)\right)\, \mathrm{d}u.$$

This expression can be further simplified by taking second derivative with respect to α of the integral representation

$$S_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=c} \frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{z}+(1-\alpha)z}}{(1-z)^2}\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

giving

$$S_1''(\alpha) = 2\bar{I}_0(\alpha) + \alpha^{-1}\bar{I}_1(\alpha),$$

which implies that (with $S_1(0) = 0, S_1'(0) = 1$)

$$S_1(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha (\alpha - u)\left(2\bar{I}_0(u) + u^{-1}\bar{I}_1(u)\right)\,\mathrm{d}u$$

Similarly, since $S_2' = I_0 + 4S_1$, we have

$$S_2(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha (1 + 4(\alpha-u)(\alpha-u+1))\bar{I}_0(u)\,\mathrm{d}u + 4\int_0^\alpha (\alpha-u)^2\bar{I}_1(u)\,\mathrm{d}u.$$

These expressions show not only the intimate connections of S_r to Bessel functions but also their rich algebraic aspects.

We now consider $S_r(1-\alpha)$. By the same integral representation and a change of variables, we see that, for $r \geqslant 1$,

$$(-1)^r S_r(\alpha) + S_r(1-\alpha) = [z^0]E_r(1-z)e^{\frac{\alpha}{1-z}+(1-\alpha)(1-z)}.$$

Now

$$E_r(1-z) = r![w^r]\frac{e^w}{1-(1-z)e^w} = \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant r}(-1)^{r+j}j!\,\mathrm{Stirling}_2(r,j)z^{-j-1}.$$

Thus we deduce the identity (for $r \geqslant 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^r S_r(\alpha) + S_r(1-\alpha)\\ &\quad= e\sum_{0\leqslant\ell\leqslant r}(-1)^{r+\ell}\ell!\,\mathrm{Stirling}_2(r,\ell)\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant h\leqslant\ell\\ 0\leqslant j<h/2}}\binom{h-j-1}{j-1}\frac{(2\alpha-1)^{\ell-h}\alpha^j}{(\ell-h)!j!}\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^r S_r(\alpha) + S_r(1-\alpha)\\ &\quad= e\sum_{0\leqslant\ell\leqslant r}(-1)^{r+\ell}\ell!\,\mathrm{Stirling}_2(r,\ell)\left(\frac{(\alpha-1)^\ell}{\ell!} + \sum_{\substack{0\leqslant h\leqslant\ell\\ 0\leqslant j<h}}\binom{h-1}{j}\frac{\alpha^{h-j}(\alpha-1)^{\ell-h}}{(\ell-h)!(h-j)!}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $r = 0$

$$S_0(\alpha) + S_0(1-\alpha) = e - \bar{I}_0(\alpha).$$

In particular, this gives $S(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(e - I_0(1)) \approx 0.726107$. For $r \geqslant 1$

$$\begin{aligned}S_1(\alpha) - S_1(1-\alpha) &= e(2\alpha-1)\\ S_2(\alpha) + S_2(1-\alpha) &= e(4\alpha^2-4\alpha+2)\\ S_3(\alpha) - S_3(1-\alpha) &= e(8\alpha^3-12\alpha^2+14\alpha-5)\\ S_4(\alpha) - S_4(1-\alpha) &= e(16\alpha^4-32\alpha^3+64\alpha^2-48\alpha+15).\end{aligned}$$

Appendix. B. Closeness of the approximation (25) for $\mu^*_{n,m}$: graphical representations

The successive improvements attained by adding more terms on the right-hand side of (25) can be viewed in Figures 5 and 6.



Figure 5: *Left: the sequence* $\mu^*_{n,m}$ *for* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *and* $n = 10, \ldots, 60$*; Right: the difference between* $\mu^*_{n,m} - H_m$ *for* n, m *in the same ranges.*



Figure 6: *The difference* $\mu^*_{n,m} - (H_m + \phi_1(\frac{m}{n}))$ *(left) and* $\mu^*_{n,m} - \big(H_m + \phi_1(\frac{m}{n}) + \frac{H_m + \phi_2(\frac{m}{n})}{n}\big)$ *(right) for* $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *and* $n = 10, \ldots, 60$.

Appendix. C. Asymptotic expansions for $V^*_{n,m}$ for small m and the refined approximation (45) to $V^*_{n,m}$

Recall that

$$V^*_{n,m} = \frac{e_n^2}{n^2}\big(\mathbb{V}(X_{n+1,m}) + \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1,m})\big).$$

This sequence satisfies $V^*_{n,0} = 0$, and for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$,

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(V^*_{n,m} - V^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) = T^*_{n,m}, \tag{73}$$

where

$$T^*_{n,m} := \sum_{1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell} \left(\mu^*_{n,m} - \mu^*_{n,m-\ell}\right)^2.$$

From this recurrence, we obtain the following expansions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^*_{n,1} &= 1,\\
V^*_{n,2} &= \tfrac{5}{4} - \tfrac{1}{2}n^{-1} + \tfrac{3}{4}n^{-2} - \tfrac{5}{4}n^{-3} + \tfrac{31}{16}n^{-4} - 3n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}),\\
V^*_{n,3} &= \tfrac{49}{36} - \tfrac{17}{18}n^{-1} + \tfrac{52}{27}n^{-2} - \tfrac{139}{36}n^{-3} + \tfrac{3157}{432}n^{-4} - \tfrac{361}{27}n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}),\\
V^*_{n,4} &= \tfrac{205}{144} - \tfrac{95}{72}n^{-1} + \tfrac{1489}{432}n^{-2} - \tfrac{1243}{144}n^{-3} + \tfrac{33091}{1728}n^{-4} - \tfrac{28979}{864}n^{-5} + O(n^{-6}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that the leading constant terms are exactly given by

$$\left\{H^{(2)}_m\right\} = \left\{1, \tfrac{5}{4}, \tfrac{49}{36}, \tfrac{205}{144}, \tfrac{5269}{3600}, \tfrac{5369}{3600}, \dots\right\}.$$

These expansions suggest the general form

$$V^*_{n,m} \approx H^{(2)}_m + \sum_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{\tilde{d}_k(m)}{n^k}.$$

With this form using the technique of matched asymptotics, we are then led to the following explicit expressions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{d}_1(m) &= -2H_m + 2H^{(2)}_m, \quad \text{for } m \geqslant 0,\\
\tilde{d}_2(m) &= -\tfrac{11}{2}H_m + \tfrac{7}{3}H^{(2)}_m + \tfrac{7}{12} + \tfrac{11}{4}m, \quad \text{for } m \geqslant 2,\\
\tilde{d}_3(m) &= -\tfrac{73}{9}H_m + \tfrac{7}{3}H^{(2)}_m + \tfrac{1}{6} + \tfrac{239}{36}m - \tfrac{49}{36}m^2, \quad \text{for } m \geqslant 2,\\
\tilde{d}_4(m) &= -\tfrac{1349}{144}H_m + 2H^{(2)}_m + \tfrac{197}{144} + \tfrac{14135}{1728}m - \tfrac{6283}{2880}m^2 + \tfrac{2473}{4320}m^3, \quad \text{for } m \geqslant 4.
\end{aligned}
$$

The above expansions for small m suggest the more uniform asymptotic expansion for $V^*_{n,m}$ for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$

$$V^*_{n,m} \sim H^{(2)}_m + \sum_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{a_k H_m + \psi_k(\alpha) + c_k H^{(2)}_m}{n^k}, \tag{74}$$

in the sense that when omitting all terms with indices $k > K$ introduces an error of order $n^{-(K+1)}H_m$; furthermore, the expansion holds uniformly for $K \leqslant m \leqslant n$. We elaborate this approach by carrying out the required calculations up to $k = 2$, which then characterizes particularly the constant a_2 and the function $\psi_2(z)$.

We start with the formal expansion (74) and expand in recurrence (73) all terms for large $m = \alpha n$ in decreasing powers of n; we then match the coefficients of $n^{-(K+1)}$ on both sides for each $K \geqslant 1$. To specify the initial condition $\psi_K(0)$ we incorporate the information from the asymptotic expansion for $V^*_{n,K}$ (obtained by exact solution). With this algorithmic approach it is possible to determine the coefficients a_k and c_k and the functions $\psi_k(z)$ successively one after another. We remark that a formalization of this procedure at the generating function level as carried out for the expectation in Section 4.4 could be given also, but here we do not pursue this any further.

We use the expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big) - \phi\Big(\frac{m-\ell}{n}\Big) &= \phi'(\alpha)\frac{\ell}{n} - \phi''(\alpha)\frac{\ell^2}{2n^2} + \phi'''(\alpha)\frac{\ell^3}{6n^3} + \cdots,\\
H_m - H_{m-\ell} &= \frac{\ell}{\alpha\, n} + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2\alpha^2\, n^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)}{6\alpha^3\, n^3} + \cdots,\\
H^{(2)}_m - H^{(2)}_{m-\ell} &= \frac{\ell}{\alpha^2\, n^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{\alpha^3\, n^3} + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)}{2\alpha^4\, n^4} + \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as those for $\mu^*_{n,m}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}^{(r)}_{n,m}$ in (41) and (16), respectively. The expansion of the right-hand side of (73) then starts as follows.

$$T^*_{n,m} = \frac{T_1(\alpha)}{n^2} + \frac{T_2(\alpha)}{n^3} + \cdots,$$

where

$$T_1(z) = \frac{S_2(z)}{S_1^2(z)},$$
$$T_2(z) = -\frac{S_2^2(z)S_1'(z)}{S_1^4(z)} + \frac{S_3(z)S_1'(z)}{S_1^3(z)} + \frac{2S_0(z)S_2(z)}{S_1^3(z)} + \frac{S_0(z)}{S_1^2(z)} - \frac{S_2(z)}{2S_1^2(z)} - \frac{2}{S_1(z)}.$$

For the left-hand side of (73), the asymptotic form (74) leads to

$$\sum_{1\leqslant \ell \leqslant m} \lambda^*_{n,m,\ell}\left(V^*_{n,m} - V^*_{n,m-\ell}\right) = \frac{V_1(\alpha)}{n^2} + \frac{V_2(\alpha)}{n^3} + \cdots,$$

where

$$V_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{a_1}{z} + \psi_1'(z)\right) S_1(z),$$
$$\begin{aligned} V_2(z) = &\left(-\frac{1}{z^2} - \frac{a_1}{z} - \psi_1'(z)\right) S_0(z) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{z^3} - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{c_1}{z^2} - \frac{a_1}{2z^2} - \frac{a_1}{2z} + \frac{a_2}{z} - \frac{\psi_1'(z)}{2} + \psi_2'(z)\right) S_1(z) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{a_1}{2z^2} - \frac{\psi_1''(z)}{2}\right) S_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that all functions $V_k(z)$, $T_k(z)$ have a simple pole at $z = 0$.

We match the terms in the expansion and consider $V_1(z) = T_1(z)$. First we compare the first two terms of the Laurent expansions of both functions. Using (22), we get

$$V_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + a_1\right) + O(z),$$
$$T_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} + O(z),$$

and by matching the two constant terms, we see that $a_1 = -2$. The equation $V_1(z) = T_1(z)$ characterizes then the function $\psi_1'(z)$ of the form

$$\psi_1'(z) = \frac{S_2(z)}{S_1^3(z)} - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{2}{z}.$$

Next we consider $V_2(z) = T_2(z)$ and obtain

$$V_2(z) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + c_1\right)\frac{1}{z} + \left(-\frac{5}{12} - a_1 + \frac{3c_1}{2} + a_2\right) + O(z),$$
$$T_2(z) = \frac{3}{2z} - \frac{11}{12} + O(z),$$

and thus, by matching the terms and using the values already computed in the first-order approximation for $V^*_{n,m}$, $c_1 = 2$ and $a_2 = -\frac{11}{2}$. Then the function $\psi_2'(z)$ can be characterized by equating $V_2(z) = T_2(z)$, which then gives

$$\psi_2'(z) = -\frac{5S_2^2(z)S_1'(z)}{2S_1^5(z)} + \frac{S_3(z)S_1'(z)}{S_1^4(z)} + \frac{3S_2(z)S_0(z)}{S_1^4(z)} + \frac{S_2(z)S_2'(z)}{2S_1^4(z)} + \frac{S_0(z)}{S_1^3(z)} - \frac{2}{S_1^2(z)} + \frac{1}{z^3} - \frac{3}{z^2} + \frac{11}{2z}.$$

All constants and functions here match with those obtained earlier in previous paragraphs, and we can pursue the same calculations further and obtain finer approximations. For example, we have $c_2 = \frac{7}{3}$. But the calculations are long and laborious.

Finally, it remains to determine the constant terms in the Taylor expansion of the functions $\psi_k(z)$ by adjusting them to the expansion of $V^*_{n,m}$ for small m. This yields $\psi_1(0) = 0$, and

$$\psi_2(0) = \frac{7}{12}.$$

This characterizes the function $\psi_2(z)$ in Theorem 4 as follows.

$$\psi_2(z) = \frac{7}{12} + \int_0^z \left[-\frac{5S_2^2(t)S_1'(t)}{2S_1^5(t)} + \frac{S_3(t)S_1'(t)}{S_1^4(t)} + \frac{3S_2(t)S_0(t)}{S_1^4(t)} + \frac{S_2(t)S_2'(t)}{2S_1^4(t)} + \frac{S_0(t)}{S_1^3(t)} - \frac{2}{S_1^2(t)} + \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{3}{t^2} + \frac{11}{2t} \right] \mathrm{d}t.$$

In particular, the first few terms in the Taylor expansion of $\psi_2(z)$ are given by

$$\psi_2(z) = \tfrac{7}{12} + \tfrac{239}{36}z - \tfrac{6283}{2880}z^2 - \tfrac{4529}{3600}z^3 + \tfrac{9283591}{1814400}z^4 - \tfrac{137478949}{14112000}z^5 + \cdots.$$

Appendix. D. Closeness of the approximation (45) for $V^*_{n,m}$: graphical representations



Figure 7: *Left: the sequence $V^*_{n,m}$ for $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $n = 10, \ldots, 60$; Right: the difference between $V^*_{n,m} - H^{(2)}_m$ for n, m in the same ranges.*



Figure 8: *The difference* $V^*_{n,m} - \left(H_m^{(2)} + \frac{-2H_m+\psi_1(\alpha)+2H_m^{(2)}}{n}\right)$ *(left) and* $V^*_{n,m} - \left(H_m^{(2)} + \frac{-2H_m+\psi_1(\alpha)+2H_m^{(2)}}{n} + \frac{-\frac{11}{2}H_m+\psi_2(\alpha)+\frac{7}{3}H_m^{(2)}}{n^2}\right)$ *(right) for* $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ *and* $n = 10, \ldots, 60$.